BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg
BG PULSE JOURNAL

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF USING DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES AND INTERACTIVE METHODS IN TEACHING FOREIGN LANGUAGES IN HIGHER EDUCATION

Mamadov Norpo'lat Suyarovich
dean of the faculty of evening and correspondence education,
Uzbekistan state world languages university

ABSTRACT

The rapid digital transformation of higher education has significantly changed the aims, tools, and classroom organization of foreign language teaching. This article examines the effectiveness of combining digital technologies with interactive methods in foreign language instruction at higher education institutions. The study is designed as an analytical IMRAD-based article that synthesizes recent local and international literature from 2020-2025 and develops a classroom-oriented effectiveness model. Particular attention is paid to blended learning platforms, mobile applications, multimedia resources, online collaboration tools, artificial intelligence-based feedback, gamification, and interactive techniques such as problem-based tasks, role plays, debates, project work, peer instruction, and cooperative learning. The analysis shows that digital technologies become pedagogically effective only when they are integrated with purposeful interaction, clear learning outcomes, teacher facilitation, and systematic assessment. The article argues that the strongest results are achieved when technology is not used as a decorative addition but as a tool for increasing speaking practice, autonomous learning, feedback speed, learner motivation, and intercultural communication. At the same time, the findings emphasize several limitations, including unequal digital access, insufficient teacher digital competence, distraction risks, superficial use of applications, and the need for ethical and data-aware implementation. The article concludes that digital and interactive integration can improve the quality of foreign language teaching in higher education if universities provide methodological support, teacher training, reliable infrastructure, and balanced assessment criteria.

Keywords: digital technologies, interactive methods, higher education, foreign language teaching, EFL, blended learning, digital competence, student engagement, communicative competence, artificial intelligence.

INTRODUCTION

Foreign language education in higher education is no longer limited to the transmission of grammar rules, vocabulary lists, and translation exercises. Contemporary universities are expected to prepare graduates who can communicate professionally, work in international academic environments, participate in digital collaboration, and continue learning independently after graduation. This expectation has intensified the search for teaching approaches that combine language development with digital literacy, critical thinking, teamwork, and creativity. In this context, the use of digital technologies and interactive methods has become one of the most important directions in modern foreign language pedagogy. Digital technologies include learning management systems, online dictionaries, language learning platforms, video conferencing tools, podcasts, electronic corpora, artificial intelligence-based writing assistants, automated pronunciation programs, multimedia materials, and mobile applications. These tools provide access

to authentic language input, create flexible learning conditions, and allow students to practise at their own pace. However, the presence of technology itself does not guarantee educational effectiveness. A presentation, video, or application may remain passive if students are not required to speak, solve problems, negotiate meaning, produce texts, or reflect on their progress. Therefore, digital tools need to be connected with interactive pedagogical methods.

Interactive methods are based on active participation and communication between students and teachers. They include discussions, pair work, group projects, debates, role plays, case studies, problem-based learning, task-based learning, peer assessment, and collaborative writing. Their main advantage in foreign language teaching is that they create situations in which language is used for meaningful purposes. When interactive methods are supported by digital technologies, classroom communication can be extended beyond the physical classroom. Students can record oral tasks, exchange comments on shared documents, participate in online forums, complete quizzes with immediate feedback, and use digital portfolios to monitor their development. The relevance of this topic is also connected with the rapid modernization of education systems. Uzbekistan has adopted national strategies aimed at digital transformation, including the Digital Uzbekistan - 2030 strategy and later initiatives related to artificial intelligence and digital infrastructure [1; 2]. These reforms create a favorable institutional background for reconsidering the role of digital tools in foreign language education. In higher education, the need is not only to equip classrooms with devices but also to develop a methodology that explains when, why, and how digital tools should be used to improve language outcomes. For this reason, the problem of effectiveness must be studied from pedagogical, linguistic, technological, and organizational perspectives. The effectiveness of digital and interactive integration can be understood through several indicators. First, it should increase student engagement, because learners participate more actively when tasks are meaningful, multimodal, and connected to real communication. Second, it should support communicative competence, especially speaking and listening, by creating more opportunities for interaction. Third, it should improve feedback and assessment, since digital platforms allow teachers to collect data about student performance and provide faster correction. Fourth, it should promote learner autonomy, as students can practise independently through online resources and mobile applications. Finally, it should strengthen intercultural competence, because digital materials expose students to different accents, cultures, genres, and communicative situations.

At the same time, the topic requires critical analysis. Many universities face problems of unequal access to digital devices, unstable internet connection, insufficient teacher training, excessive dependence on ready-made platforms, and the risk of replacing meaningful communication with mechanical clicking. In addition, the rise of artificial intelligence tools creates new challenges related to academic integrity, authorship, assessment fairness, and the development of genuine language competence. These difficulties show that technology must be guided by clear pedagogical goals and ethical principles. The teacher remains central as a designer of learning tasks, facilitator of interaction, evaluator of progress, and mediator between digital resources and students' real communicative needs. The aim of this article is to analyze the effectiveness of using digital technologies and interactive methods in teaching foreign languages in higher education. The objectives are to define the pedagogical value of digital tools, examine the role of interactive methods, identify the conditions under which their combination becomes effective, develop a conceptual effectiveness model, and discuss practical recommendations for university foreign language classes. The article follows the IMRAD structure and uses recent local and international literature as the basis for analysis. The research questions are as follows: What pedagogical functions do digital technologies perform in foreign language teaching? How do interactive

methods strengthen student communication? What benefits and limitations arise when both approaches are integrated in higher education? What methodological conditions are necessary for effective implementation?

LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY

Recent studies show that digitalization in foreign language education is a multidimensional process. It is associated not only with the use of devices but also with changes in curriculum design, assessment culture, teacher identity, student autonomy, and the organization of communicative practice. Local researchers have emphasized the role of innovative technologies in the development of foreign language teaching in Uzbekistan. Mukhamedova argues that foreign language teaching in the Republic of Uzbekistan has increasingly been linked with innovative technologies and modern pedagogical organization [3]. This position is important because it connects digitalization with national educational reforms and the need to prepare competitive specialists. Babaniyazova's research on the integration of traditional and digital educational technologies is especially relevant for higher education. The author stresses that traditional classroom methods and digital tools should not be opposed to each other; rather, their points of contact must be identified and used according to didactic aims [4]. This idea supports a balanced approach: face-to-face interaction, teacher explanation, and classroom discussion remain necessary, while digital tools expand access, visualization, feedback, and independent practice. Such a view prevents the simplistic belief that every new technology is automatically more effective than established teaching methods. The importance of applications, podcasts, and classroom technologies has also been discussed in recent Uzbek research and journal publications. Studies on learning languages through apps and podcasts show that digital materials can diversify input, increase exposure to authentic speech, and support listening skills when teachers guide students toward purposeful use [5]. Similarly, studies of innovative technologies in foreign language teaching describe the motivational potential of gamified platforms, AI-assisted tools, and virtual resources [6]. These works demonstrate that local scholarship increasingly recognizes the relationship between digital tools and communicative, student-centered learning. International research broadens this discussion by focusing on digital competence, learner engagement, and the changing characteristics of university students. Systematic reviews of digital competence in higher education show that teachers need not only technical skills but also pedagogical and evaluative competence for meaningful technology integration [7; 8]. This is particularly significant in foreign language teaching, because the teacher must choose tools that support listening, speaking, reading, writing, vocabulary acquisition, and intercultural communication. A teacher who knows how to operate a platform but cannot transform it into a communicative task will not achieve strong learning outcomes.

Research on Generation Z and digital learning indicates that contemporary students often expect flexible access to materials, visual content, fast feedback, and opportunities for participation through digital channels [9]. Nevertheless, this does not mean that students automatically possess academic digital literacy. They may be skilled at using social media but still need guidance in evaluating sources, using AI ethically, organizing online collaboration, and producing academic language. Therefore, higher education must combine digital tools with critical digital literacy and language pedagogy. The use of augmented reality, virtual excursions, and immersive resources in university foreign language learning has been studied as a way to increase motivation and contextualize vocabulary [10]. Such tools are especially useful when they create simulated communicative environments. For example, students can describe places, solve travel-related tasks, compare cultures, or prepare oral presentations based on virtual materials. However, the success of such technologies depends on task design. Without pre-task preparation, clear language goals, and

post-task reflection, immersive tools may become entertaining but pedagogically weak. Artificial intelligence has introduced a new stage in the digital transformation of language learning. AI tools can support grammar correction, vocabulary explanation, pronunciation practice, dialogue simulation, text generation, and personalized feedback. Recent research on ChatGPT-based oral presentation practice suggests that AI can provide additional support for learners who need more feedback than teachers can offer during limited classroom time [11]. At the same time, AI use requires careful monitoring, because students may become dependent on generated answers or submit work that does not reflect their own competence. Thus, AI should be used as a learning assistant, not as a replacement for student effort or teacher evaluation.

The literature also confirms the continuing relevance of interactive methods. Communicative language teaching, task-based learning, cooperative learning, and project-based learning are based on the principle that language develops through use. Digital platforms can strengthen these methods by making interaction more visible, recordable, and extendable. For instance, peer feedback can be organized in shared documents, debates can continue in online forums, and project outcomes can be presented through digital posters or videos. The main conclusion from the literature is that effectiveness emerges from the interaction of three elements: pedagogical purpose, technological affordance, and learner activity.

This article uses a qualitative analytical methodology based on literature review, pedagogical modeling, and classroom-oriented interpretation. The material for analysis consists of recent publications, official strategic documents, and methodological studies published mainly between 2020 and 2025. The selection of sources follows three criteria: relevance to higher education, connection with foreign language teaching, and attention to digital technologies, interactive methods, or teacher digital competence. Both local and foreign sources are included in order to connect the Uzbek higher education context with wider international experience. The methodology is not designed as a large-scale statistical experiment; instead, it develops a conceptual model that can be applied by university teachers when planning digital-interactive foreign language lessons. This choice is appropriate because the research problem is not simply whether technology works, but under what methodological conditions it becomes effective. The analysis therefore examines digital tools according to their pedagogical functions: input enrichment, practice organization, feedback, collaboration, assessment, and autonomous learning. Interactive methods are examined according to their communicative functions: negotiation of meaning, peer support, problem solving, creativity, and reflection. The analytical procedure consists of four stages. At the first stage, recent literature is reviewed to identify recurring benefits and limitations of digital technologies in language learning. At the second stage, interactive methods are classified according to the types of student activity they generate. At the third stage, digital tools and interactive methods are matched with language skills and expected learning outcomes. At the fourth stage, the findings are synthesized into an effectiveness model that can guide lesson planning in higher education. The model is presented as a scheme in the Results and Discussion section.

The study uses the following effectiveness indicators: student engagement, frequency of meaningful communication, quality of feedback, development of learner autonomy, improvement of digital literacy, accessibility of authentic materials, and alignment with assessment. These indicators were selected because they represent both language outcomes and educational conditions. For example, a digital quiz may increase feedback speed, but it is effective only if the questions assess meaningful language use. Similarly, a group project may increase interaction, but its effectiveness depends on clear roles, evaluation criteria, and linguistic support. The main limitation of the methodology is that it does not provide numerical data from one specific university. Instead,

it offers a theoretically grounded and practically applicable framework. This limitation is also a strength, because the model can be adapted to different higher education contexts, language levels, institutional resources, and teacher experiences. Future empirical research may test this model through classroom experiments, surveys, interviews, and performance-based assessment. In the present article, the emphasis is placed on methodological reasoning and the development of practical recommendations for foreign language teachers.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The analysis of literature and pedagogical practice indicates that digital technologies and interactive methods are most effective when they are planned as a unified system. The first result is that digital tools increase access to language input. Students can listen to native and non-native speakers, watch academic videos, read online texts, use corpora, and practise vocabulary through spaced repetition platforms. This exposure is particularly valuable in contexts where students have limited opportunities to communicate with speakers of the target language outside the classroom. However, access alone is insufficient. Teachers must transform input into tasks: students should predict content, summarize ideas, compare viewpoints, discuss vocabulary, and use new language in speaking or writing.

The second result is that interactive methods increase the amount and quality of student language production. In traditional teacher-centered lessons, a limited number of students may speak while others remain passive. Pair work, group discussion, role play, project work, and peer instruction distribute speaking opportunities more evenly. Digital tools can strengthen this process by allowing students to prepare recordings, exchange drafts, comment on classmates' work, and revisit feedback. For example, a speaking task can begin with a podcast or video, continue with small-group discussion, and end with a recorded oral reflection uploaded to a learning platform. In this sequence, technology supports every stage of the interactive method.

The third result concerns feedback. In foreign language learning, delayed feedback can reduce motivation and make errors fossilize. Digital quizzes, grammar-checking tools, pronunciation applications, and AI-assisted comments can provide immediate formative feedback. Yet feedback should not be fully automated. Automated correction may identify surface errors but fail to understand communicative intention, genre requirements, or cultural appropriateness. Therefore, the most effective model combines quick digital feedback with teacher explanation and peer review. Students receive immediate signals about mistakes, but they also learn why a correction is necessary and how to improve their future performance.

The fourth result is connected with learner autonomy. Digital platforms allow students to practise beyond classroom hours. They can repeat listening tasks, revise vocabulary, read graded materials, and monitor progress through digital portfolios. This supports the principle that language learning requires regular exposure and practice. Nevertheless, autonomy does not mean leaving students alone with applications. Students need training in goal setting, resource selection, time management, and self-assessment. Teachers can assign learning logs, reflection questions, and progress reports so that independent digital practice becomes meaningful and accountable.

The fifth result is that the integration of digital technologies with interactive methods contributes to intercultural competence. Authentic videos, podcasts, virtual tours, online interviews, and international collaboration projects expose students to different cultural practices and communicative norms. Interactive tasks help students process this exposure by comparing cultures, discussing misunderstandings, and reflecting on politeness, register, and context. In this way, digital materials provide cultural input while interactive methods transform it into intercultural

learning. This is especially important in higher education, where foreign language competence is often linked with academic mobility, professional communication, and international cooperation.

Figure 1. Conceptual scheme of digital-interactive effectiveness in higher education foreign language teaching

Digital input platforms, apps, multimedia	→	**Interactive tasks pair work, projects, debates**	→	**Meaningful communication speaking and writing**
Teacher design objectives and scaffolding	→	**Student activity collaboration and problem solving**	→	**Feedback cycle AI, peers, teacher**
Authentic resources podcasts, corpora, videos	→	**Critical use selection and reflection**	→	**Autonomous learning portfolios and practice**
Digital competence technical and ethical skills	→	**Assessment alignment rubrics and evidence**	→	**Improved outcomes engagement and competence**
Infrastructure access and support	→	**Balanced integration not technology for its own sake**	→	**Sustainable quality continuous improvement**

The scheme shows that effectiveness is not a direct result of using a device or platform. It is the result of a chain in which digital input is transformed into interactive tasks, interaction is supported by feedback, and feedback leads to reflection and improved performance. If one element is missing, the chain becomes weak. For example, a teacher may use a high-quality video, but if students only watch it passively, the communicative value remains limited. Conversely, a teacher may organize group discussion, but without digital resources students may lack authentic input or opportunities for independent follow-up practice. A major discussion point is the role of the teacher. Digitalization sometimes creates the impression that the teacher becomes less important. The findings of this analysis suggest the opposite. The teacher's role becomes more complex because he or she must select reliable resources, create interactive tasks, organize digital collaboration, interpret learning analytics, guide students' ethical use of AI, and ensure that assessment reflects real language ability. Teacher digital competence is therefore a key condition of effectiveness. Universities should organize professional development not only on how to use tools, but also on how to integrate them into communicative methodology.

Another important issue is assessment. Traditional assessment often focuses on final written tests, while digital-interactive learning produces many types of evidence: recordings, online discussions, collaborative documents, portfolios, quizzes, presentations, and peer feedback. If assessment remains unchanged, students may not take interactive tasks seriously. Therefore, assessment criteria should include participation quality, communicative achievement, accuracy, fluency, vocabulary growth, collaboration, and reflection. Rubrics can help make this process

transparent. Digital portfolios are particularly useful because they show progress over time rather than one-time performance. The discussion also reveals that digital technologies can support differentiated instruction. Students in one university group often have different language levels, learning styles, and confidence. Digital platforms allow teachers to provide additional materials for weaker students and extension tasks for stronger students. Interactive group work allows students to support each other, explain language, and learn through cooperation. However, differentiation must be carefully organized. If advanced students do all the work in group projects, weaker students may remain passive. Clear roles, rotating responsibilities, and individual reflection tasks can prevent this problem. Motivation is another area where digital-interactive integration has strong potential. Gamified quizzes, progress badges, multimedia projects, and online collaboration can make learning more attractive. But motivation should not be reduced to entertainment. In higher education, sustainable motivation is connected with professional relevance, academic purpose, and visible progress. Therefore, digital tasks should be connected with students' future fields, such as preparing professional presentations, writing emails, analyzing subject-related texts, or simulating workplace communication. When students understand the practical value of a task, their engagement becomes deeper than the temporary interest produced by a game or application.

The effectiveness of digital technologies is also connected with the development of academic language. University students need to read research articles, write summaries, present arguments, and participate in seminars. Digital tools can support these skills through online databases, annotation platforms, citation managers, academic vocabulary resources, and collaborative writing tools. Interactive methods can then require students to discuss sources, defend positions, peer-review drafts, and present findings. This combination is especially useful in English for Specific Purposes and English for Academic Purposes, where language learning is directly connected with disciplinary knowledge. A significant challenge is the digital divide. Not all students have equal access to devices, high-speed internet, or quiet study spaces. If teachers design tasks that require constant online access, some students may be disadvantaged. Higher education institutions must therefore provide computer rooms, internet access, offline alternatives, and flexible submission options. Inclusive planning also means considering students with disabilities and ensuring that digital materials are accessible, readable, and compatible with assistive technologies. Effectiveness should be measured not only by innovation but also by fairness and accessibility. Academic integrity is another challenge. AI-based writing tools and translators can help students learn, but they can also be used to avoid genuine language production. The solution is not simply to ban such tools. Instead, teachers should teach students how to use them responsibly. For example, students may be asked to compare their original draft with AI feedback, explain which suggestions they accepted, and reflect on what they learned. Oral defense, in-class writing, process portfolios, and individualized tasks can also reduce misuse. In this way, digital ethics becomes part of language education.

The analysis suggests several practical conditions for effective implementation. First, every digital tool must be linked to a language objective. A video should support listening and discussion; a quiz should reinforce vocabulary or grammar; an AI tool should develop revision skills; a shared document should support collaborative writing. Second, interactive tasks must have clear roles and outcomes. Students should know what product they need to produce and how it will be assessed. Third, the teacher should combine digital, peer, and teacher feedback. Fourth, students should reflect on both language development and digital learning strategies. Fifth, institutions should support teachers through training, infrastructure, and methodological communities. For university foreign language classes, an effective lesson may follow a digital-interactive cycle. The pre-class

stage provides digital input, such as a short video, podcast, article, or vocabulary set. The in-class stage uses interactive methods: students discuss, solve a problem, role-play, debate, or create a product. The post-class stage uses digital portfolios, feedback tools, or reflective journals. This cycle supports blended learning and prevents classroom time from being spent only on presentation of information. Instead, classroom time becomes a space for communication, clarification, and feedback. The results also show that interactive methods give digital technologies a human dimension. Language is social by nature, and students learn to communicate by communicating with others. Digital platforms can expand opportunities, but they cannot replace the need for real interaction, emotional support, negotiation, and cultural interpretation. Therefore, the most effective model is not purely online or purely traditional; it is a balanced model in which technology supports human communication. This is particularly relevant in higher education, where students need both independent digital learning skills and the ability to cooperate in academic and professional communities.

In summary, the effectiveness of using digital technologies and interactive methods in higher education foreign language teaching depends on purposeful integration. The benefits include increased access to authentic materials, more speaking and writing practice, faster feedback, greater autonomy, stronger motivation, better collaboration, and improved intercultural awareness. The limitations include unequal access, teacher readiness, distraction, superficial use, and ethical risks. These limitations do not reject digital-interactive teaching; rather, they show the need for careful methodology. When universities provide support and teachers design communicative tasks, digital technologies become powerful instruments for improving foreign language education.

CONCLUSION

The use of digital technologies and interactive methods in teaching foreign languages in higher education is effective when it is based on clear pedagogical aims, communicative practice, teacher guidance, and systematic feedback. Digital tools expand access to authentic materials, support independent learning, accelerate feedback, and create flexible learning environments. Interactive methods transform these opportunities into meaningful communication, cooperation, problem solving, and reflection. Therefore, the integration of both approaches is more productive than the isolated use of either technology or traditional interaction. The article shows that effectiveness depends on several conditions: teacher digital competence, methodological planning, assessment alignment, ethical use of AI and online resources, accessibility of infrastructure, and student training in autonomous learning. Universities should not treat digitalization as the simple purchase of devices or the introduction of platforms. It must be supported by professional development, resource evaluation, inclusive access, and research-based teaching strategies. For foreign language education, the main goal remains communicative competence; digital technologies are valuable only when they help students understand, produce, and use language in real academic and professional contexts. Future studies may test the proposed model through empirical classroom experiments, comparative analysis of blended and traditional groups, student surveys, teacher interviews, and performance-based assessment of speaking and writing skills. Nevertheless, the present analysis confirms that digital-interactive teaching is a promising direction for improving foreign language learning in higher education, especially when it is balanced, learner-centered, ethically responsible, and aligned with national and international trends in educational modernization.

REFERENCES

1. Decree of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. UP-6079 of 05.10.2020. On approval of the Strategy “Digital Uzbekistan – 2030” and measures for its effective implementation. – URL: https://lex.uz/docs/5030957 (accessed: 16.06.2026).
2. Resolution of the President of the Republic of Uzbekistan No. PP-358 of 14.10.2024. On approval of the Strategy for the development of artificial intelligence technologies until 2030. – URL: https://lex.uz/docs/7159258 (accessed: 16.06.2026).
3. Mukhamedova Sh. A. Foreign language teaching in the Republic of Uzbekistan is based on the application of innovative technologies in the educational process // Nauka, obrazovanie i kultura. – 2020. – No. 5. – P. 45-48.
4. Babaniyazova N. Integration of traditional and digital educational technologies: points of contact and differences in teaching a foreign language // Scientific Herald of Uzhhorod University. Series Physics. – 2024. – No. 55. – P. 2161-2173. – DOI: 10.54919/physics/55.2024.216kb1.
5. Yusupov O. X. The use of digital technologies in classrooms and the importance of learning languages through apps and podcasts // Foreign Languages in Uzbekistan. – 2025. – URL: https://journal.fledu.uz/ (accessed: 16.06.2026).
6. Ibrahimova K. The role of innovative technologies in foreign language teaching // European Journal of Global Language and Literature Education. – 2025. – URL: https://egarp.lt/index.php/EGJLLE/article/view/424 (accessed: 16.06.2026).
7. López-Núñez J. A., et al. A systematic review of digital competence evaluation in higher education // Education Sciences. – 2024. – Vol. 14, No. 11. – Article 1181. – DOI: 10.3390/educsci14111181.
8. Trujillo-Juárez S. I., et al. Strengthening teacher digital competence in higher education: a systematic review // Discover Education. – 2025. – URL: https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s44217-025-00687-0 (accessed: 16.06.2026).
9. Alruthaya A., Nguyen T.-T., Lokuge S. The application of digital technology and the learning characteristics of Generation Z in higher education // arXiv preprint. – 2021. – arXiv:2111.05991.
10. Tarasenko R., Amelina S., Kazhan Y., Bondarenko O. The use of AR elements in the study of foreign languages at the university // arXiv preprint. – 2022. – arXiv:2202.09161.
11. Cha J., Han J., Yoo H., Oh A. CHOP: Integrating ChatGPT into EFL oral presentation practice // arXiv preprint. – 2024. – arXiv:2407.07393.
12. OECD. The impact of digital technologies on students’ learning. – Paris: OECD Publishing, 2025. – URL: https://www.oecd.org/ (accessed: 16.06.2026).
13. Zou Y. Digital learning in the 21st century: trends, challenges, and innovations // Frontiers in Education. – 2025. – URL: https://www.frontiersin.org/ (accessed: 16.06.2026).