BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

THE BODY AS A MAP: FEDALLAH AND THE FATAL GEOGRAPHY OF *MOBY DICK*

Nozimakhon Nazarova

Master's student of the School of Foreign Languages, Hangzhou Normal University, Yuhang District, Hangzhou, 311100, China

e-mail: nozimanazarova200212@gmail.com

Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21441027

Abstract: This paper maps the figure of Fedallah, the enigmatic Parsee harpooneer in Herman Melville's *Moby-Dick,* through the lens of Literary cartography, reinterpreting him as both prophet and mapmaker whose presence redefines the novel's symbolic geography. Though he speaks little and disappears early, Fedallah's silence and prophecy chart the Pequod's voyage as a metaphysical map of fate. Drawing on Edward Said's *Orientalism* and the concept of mimicry, this study demonstrates that Fedallah's Parsee identity situates him within nineteenth-century discourses of the "Orient" as timeless, mystical, and unreadable. Melville's letters reinforce this construction, revealing his fascination with the "Oriental" as a metaphor for entanglement and destiny. Fedallah's fire-scorched visage and hemp death literalize these metaphors, transforming abstract images of bondage, illumination, and fatality into narrative coordinates that shape the novel's imaginative space. His prophecies function as cartographic acts in the sense of J. B. Harley's theory of maps as discourses of power: they do not merely describe the oceanic world but actively remake it. Ahab's misreading of these prophetic coordinates as routes to triumph transforms Fedallah's oracles into instruments of confinement, narrowing the ocean's vast openness into a mapped itinerary of doom. Fedallah's silent disappearance at sea completes this fatal cartography—his body erased even as his prophecy inscribes the Pequod's destruction. Ultimately, Fedallah embodies Melville's obsession with fire, rope, maps, and fate, revealing how literary space can operate as both geography and theology. Through his spectral figure, Melville inscribes the paradox of prophecy itself—a map that guides only through erasure, where knowledge and annihilation converge upon the same line of latitude. Also, this study introduces the term prophetic cartography in which the map is build on the prophecy.

Key words: Liminality, fate, cartography, orient.

1. Introduction

Moby-Dick (1851) is a novel saturated by charts, routes, soundings, and shipboard routines of recording position—yet some of its consequential "maps" are not on paper. They are performed as well as they are foretold also represented. This paper argues the fact that Fedallah—the Parsee harpooner who sails as Ahab's shadow—functions as a shadow-cartographer whose prophecies, movements, and vantage points re-map at the Pequod's world, thereby changing rational navigation into a fatal itinerary. Unlike Ahab, whose obsession burns visibly, Fedallah moves in silence, shaping the voyage through prophecy rather than command. His obscurity, his Parsee identity, and his cryptic language make him a perfect subject for literary cartography, which seeks to understand how space and meaning are drawn, distorted, and redrawn in narrative. Fedallah stands at the

intersection of geography and destiny: he does not steer the ship, yet his presence redefines its course. His prophecies operate as "maps of inevitability"—they trace outcomes before they occur, converting open ocean into a network of fated coordinates. In this sense, Melville turns prophecy into a cartographic tool: it charts not physical direction, but moral and existential trajectory. The rigging, masts, and ropes of the Pequod become a kind of surveillance architecture, lines of power that both guide and entrap. Through Fedallah, Melville transforms rational navigation—an emblem of Enlightenment mastery—into a fatal itinerary, where the quest for dominion over nature collapses into self-destruction. The significance of this paper lies in reimagining Fedallah not as a mere exotic shadow or fatal omen, but as a shadow-cartographer, whose presence rewrites the spatial logic of the novel. Reading him through literary cartography reveals how Melville's sea is not just a setting, but a map of imperial tension, prophecy, and erasure—where knowledge, power, and destiny converge and consume one another.

Criticism on *Moby-Dick* is as vast as the ocean it evokes, encompassing explorations of its narrative complexity, philosophical depth, and symbolic range. Yet within this immense critical landscape, Fedallah—though pivotal in shaping Captain Ahab's destiny—has remained a spectral figure. While Melville's cartographic imagination has been extensively studied, scholars have largely confined their attention to Ishmael's encyclopedic narrations, Melville's detailed cataloging of whales and seas, or Ahab's obsessive pursuit of the white whale as a metaphorical act of mapping human knowledge and desire. Rarely has Fedallah been situated within this cartographic framework. This study therefore proposes that Fedallah functions as a cartographic figure—Melville's "shadow-cartographer"—whose enigmatic presence re-configures the novel's geography of power, knowledge, and destiny. To develop this claim, it is essential to trace how Fedallah's characterization engages with three interrelated critical traditions such as Orientalism and the construction of the racialized "Other," the dynamics of liminality and subalternity, and literary cartography as a mode of interpretation.

Edward Said's *Orientalism* (1978) remains central to understanding how Melville constructs Fedallah not as a psychologically developed individual but as a symbolic repository of "Eastern" mystery and menace. Said argued that Western literature often turns the Orient into a projection of its own fears and fascinations, producing figures who are exotic, inscrutable, and imbued with latent power. Fedallah embodies this representational pattern. His "coal-black visage," turban, and prophetic role situate him firmly within a long tradition of Orientalist imagery. Yet his influence aboard the *Pequod* arises not from open rebellion but from symbolic authority—an authority disproportionate to his few appearances in the text. Later critics such as Tony Tanner (Cambridge, 2000) and Andrew Delbanco (Knopf, 2005) have expanded on this insight, noting that Fedallah encapsulates Melville's preoccupation with "dark knowledge" and the limits of Enlightenment rationality. His lack of interiority is deliberate, functioning as what Said would call a "representational economy," in which the East exists primarily as the West's shadowed mirror. Reading Fedallah through this lens reveals how Melville converts the stereotype into narrative propulsion, transforming cultural otherness into an engine of plot and meaning. Yet Orientalism alone cannot fully account for Fedallah's symbolic complexity. His character also embodies what Victor Turner describes as "liminality"—a state of being "betwixt and between." (1967, pp. 93–111). Fedallah's introduction as a stowaway hidden below decks, and his later position atop the mast-head, emphasize his existence between visibility and invisibility, between heaven and deck, between presence and ghostliness. This liminal status grants him both marginality and power. Michel Foucault's notion of surveillance further enriches this reading: from his elevated vantage

point, Fedallah occupies an inverted panopticon, seeing everything while remaining unseen. His watchfulness contrasts with Ishmael's mast-head reveries; where Ishmael dissolves into the sea's vastness, Fedallah transforms observation into control and prophecy. Gayatri Spivak's question—"Can the subaltern speak?"—casts further light on his paradoxical voice. Fedallah speaks only through prophecy, a mode that asserts authority while denying reciprocity. His oracles dictate rather than converse, rendering him present yet ultimately unheard as a subject. Homi Bhabha's concept of "mimicry" deepens this complexity: Fedallah mirrors Ahab, simultaneously echoing and unsettling him. As Ahab's uncanny double, he both reinforces and destabilizes command, becoming a "partial presence" whose imperfect imitation exposes the fragility of hierarchy.

The idea of mapping brings another dimension to Fedallah's role. Scholars such as Franco Moretti and J.B. Harley have demonstrated that maps are never neutral instruments of representation; they are ideological tools that define how space and power are imagined. In *Moby-Dick*, Ishmael's encyclopedic descriptions and cataloging impulses have often been read as acts of literary cartography, transforming oceans and whales into textual geographies. Yet this emphasis on Ishmael's mapping overlooks a counter-cartography embedded in Fedallah's prophecies. His predictions function as "maps of fate," charting the *Pequod*'s doomed course with the precision of coordinates. Each symbolic sign—the hearses, the hemp rope, his own death—marks a metaphysical landmark, converting prophecy into spatial logic. Melville's journals from his Pacific voyages reveal his fascination with navigation and mapping, but Fedallah transforms this empirical impulse into something mythic. His "maps" are not of distance but of destiny, tracing the unseen routes between knowledge and doom. In this sense, he becomes the dark reflection of the Western navigator: a cartographer of the unknown. Considering these interwoven perspectives—Orientalist, liminal, subaltern, and cartographic—it becomes evident that Fedallah's importance lies not merely in his mysterious presence but in his function as a structural and symbolic nexus. Through him, Melville unites the novel's central tensions: between East and West, knowledge and ignorance, vision and blindness, freedom and fate. Yet despite the richness of these connections, critical discussions have rarely synthesized them to view Fedallah as an active cartographic agent. Scholars have analyzed Ishmael's encyclopedic mapping and Ahab's spatial obsession, but few have explored how Fedallah transforms prophecy into cartography, reconfiguring Orientalism itself into a spatial and epistemological system. This gap in scholarship points to a deeper question that guides the present study: How does Melville's portrayal of Fedallah as an Orientalized, liminal, and prophetic figure transform him into a "shadow-cartographer," through whom *Moby-Dick* reimagines mapping as a convergence of knowledge, power, and fate?

Literary cartography, as Franco Moretti (1998) defines it, is a critical approach that examines how literary texts map, organize, and imagine space—both real and symbolic. Robert T. Tally Jr. (2013) further expands this idea, describing literary cartography as a means of tracing the "spatial imagination" of literature: how narrative structures, characters, and journeys produce a geography of meaning. Within this framework, literary works do not merely describe settings; they construct worlds, impose routes, and challenge spatial hierarchies. In the context of *Moby-Dick*, this study applies literary cartography to explore how Herman Melville's narrative transforms space into a dynamic field of knowledge, power, and prophecy. The spaces in *Moby-Dick* are not only physical—routes, ports, the mast-head, or the whale-line—but also cognitive and political. They embody systems of belief (prophecy, superstition), social control (shipboard hierarchies), and imperial power (global circuits of whaling and conquest). Fedallah, a crucial yet enigmatic figure, occupies these layered spaces. His appearances at key spatial nodes—aloft in the mast-head,

smuggled below decks, and entangled in the fatal whale-line—mark him as a liminal agent who simultaneously observes and shapes the ship's trajectory.

Melville's own maritime experience grounds this spatial consciousness. During his sea years, recorded in *Journal of a Cruise to the Pacific Ocean (1841–1846)*, Melville meticulously noted routes, ports, and bearings—entries such as "Cape St. Lucas," "Tres Marías," "La Plata," and "Chatham Light." These lists of way points transformed the vast ocean into a mapped experience, turning movement into a record of spatial consciousness. Later editors referred to these years as Melville's "Harvard and Yale," emphasizing that his education was maritime and route-shaped. This biographical grounding demonstrates how empirical navigation and record-keeping evolved, in his fiction, into symbolic and moral mapping. Yet the mapping that emerges through Fedallah is distinct from the practical cartography of a quartermaster. It is what might be called prophetic cartography—a reconfiguration of space through foretelling, where direction becomes destiny and navigation is guided by fate rather than coordinates.

This methodology builds on prior analyses that interpret Fedallah's mast-head position as an "inverted panopticon," where he both watches and is watched, embodying vertical segregation and the politics of visibility. Extending that insight, this paper reads Fedallah's prophecies as cartographic inscriptions—mental routes projected onto Ahab's psyche. Through these spatial inscriptions, Fedallah redirects the Pequod's collective perception from empirical exploration to obsessive pursuit, transforming navigation into an allegory of imperial blindness. The theoretical framework integrates three interrelated discourses. First, Orientalism: Fedallah's Parsee identity and exoticized portrayal situate him within Western constructions of the "East" as mysterious and menacing. Interpreting him as a cartographic agent reveals how Orientalist imagination doesn't merely represent the Other but actively shapes the voyage's direction and meaning. Second, spatial power: drawing on theories of surveillance and liminality, the Pequod is approached as a floating microcosm of empire—its rigging, cabins, and lookouts reinforcing hierarchies that Fedallah's presence simultaneously disrupts. Third, oceanic and imperial geography: Melville's global itineraries, both lived and literary, tie the Pequod's movement to imperial trade routes. Fedallah exposes the moral fractures within those circuits by embodying a form of knowledge that Ahab desires yet cannot control. Taken together, this cartographic methodology positions Fedallah as a key to understanding Melville's spatial critique. He is less a character than a mapmaker of obsession—a shadow-cartographer whose prophetic vision redefines the meaning of navigation itself.

2. Fedallah's Origins and Orientalism

Fedallah enters *Moby-Dick* belatedly, in one of the novel's most disorienting narrative turns. For over thirty chapters the reader believes the Pequod's crew is complete, its harpooners already introduced and characterized. Only in "The First Lowering" (Melch. 48, p. 246) does Melville reveal the existence of Ahab's secret retinue—five "phantom" sailors hidden aboard since departure. Fedallah, their leader, emerges as an apparition: "a tall, oriental figure, with one turbaned head" whose "coal-black visage" is half-shadow, half-symbol (Melville, ch. 48). This moment destabilizes both the narrative's sense of order and the crew's assumptions about who commands the Pequod. From the outset, then, Fedallah is framed not as a fully fleshed character but as an enigma whose origins are marked by secrecy, spectacle, and Orientalist projection. Melville identifies Fedallah as a Parsee, a member of the Zoroastrian diaspora in India. To

nineteenth-century Western readers, this label carried less ethnographic accuracy than symbolic weight. Parsees were often described as industrious merchants and shipbuilders in colonial Bombay, yet also exoticized as "fire-worshippers" whose temples barred outsiders. Their liminal position—visible, respected, yet religiously opaque—mirrors Fedallah's role aboard the Pequod: both indispensable and distrusted.

Zoroastrian associations with fire make Melville's description of Fedallah's "scorched" visage (*Moby-Dick,* ch. 48) especially resonant. The imagery of burning, already linked to Ahab's scar (*Moby-Dick,* ch. 28), gains cultural overtones when attached to a Parsee: fire here signifies not only wound or fate but a cosmology where fire is divine purity and destiny. By making Fedallah a Parsee, Melville encodes him as a figure of liminality, mystery, and fatal vision—a character who enters the narrative already inscribed with Orientalist projections of esoteric knowledge.

Yet this cultural identity is less an ethnographic detail than a narrative effect. The crew reacts not with curiosity about Zoroastrian practice but with fear at the "Oriental" otherness of the figure. His turban, dark skin, and silence become the signs of his role. As Edward Said reminds us in *Orientalism* (1978), Western texts rarely describe "the Orient" for its own sake; rather, they construct it as a "theatrical stage" on which Western anxieties and desires can be played out (Said, p. 63). Fedallah functions exactly in this way: he is less an individual than an atmosphere, a condensation of the crew's superstition and Ahab's obsession.

This mode of representation is underscored by absence. While Melville details the backgrounds of Queequeg, Tashtego, and Daggoo with at least minimal cultural markers, Fedallah is rendered in fragments: a turban, a face "wrinkled like a charred leaf," a voice that surfaces only for prophecy. As Gayatri Spivak might put it, he is a figure who cannot "speak" in the text except in the ventriloquized mode of oracle—his subaltern position marked by the fact that his cultural depth is inaccessible except as symbol (*Can the Subaltern Speak?,* 1988).

Melville's correspondence confirms his Orientalist imagination. Writing to Hawthorne in 1851, he declared: "The profoundest theme to be struck by man is the Oriental one." Here, the East is not geography but profundity itself. Elsewhere, he contrasted "The Oriental mind, with its largeness and repose" to the nervous bustle of the West (to Evert Duyckinck, 1849). Fedallah embodies precisely this "Oriental largeness": patient, silent, inscrutable, his presence less empirical than eternal.

Thus, Fedallah's identity as Parsee must be read not as ethnographic fidelity but as narrative construction. Melville's own letters show that "the Oriental" was for him a reservoir of imagination and fate. By coding Fedallah as Parsee, Melville inscribes him with that symbolic burden: Fedallah is Oriental profundity made flesh, the figure who carries prophecy into the novel.

2.1. Melville's Pacific Encounters

That Melville chose a Parsee is not accidental. His years at sea (1841–1844) immersed him in cosmopolitan crews and exposed him to fragments of world religions, from Polynesian mythologies to missionary Christianity. His *Journal of a Cruise to the Pacific Ocean* lists ports of call—Cape St. Lucas, Marquesas, La Plata—in the ledger-like style of a navigator's itinerary. These enumerations show Melville's habit of recording "others" as geographic notations, places glimpsed from a distance, logged without depth. Fedallah replicates this journalistic mode of encounter: he appears suddenly, named but unexplained, a presence defined by place and spectacle rather than

voice. If Melville's journal reveals his fascination with global routes and foreign shores, *Moby-Dick* translates that fascination into narrative form. Fedallah, the "exotic" figure smuggled below deck, is the novelistic equivalent of those ports—present, marked, but inscrutable. He embodies the fact that Melville's ocean is a contact zone, yet one structured by asymmetries of power and perception. The Parsee becomes not a cultural subject but a cartographic symbol of distance and difference.

2.2. Liminal Presence

To understand how such a mapping of knowledge operates within the narrative, it is necessary to examine the spatial and structural position occupied by Fedallah himself. Victor Turner's concept of liminality provides a useful framework for interpreting this in-between positioning. Victor Turner's elaboration of liminality helps to explain why Fedallah unsettles both crew and reader. In *The Ritual Process* (1969), Turner defines liminal figures as those who occupy "betwixt and between" (*The Ritual Process*, p. 95) positions, destabilizing normative categories while enabling ritual transformation. Fedallah epitomizes this structure: hidden below decks, he surfaces at a liminal moment in the voyage (the first lowering of boats); his placement in the mast-head literally situates him between heaven and earth, vision and command. He is simultaneously within the Pequod's hierarchy (Ahab's confidant, a harpooner) and outside it (unacknowledged, distrusted).

Turner's anthropology thus clarifies why Fedallah both structures and disrupts the Pequod's order. His liminal position does not simply place him "between" categories; rather, it allows him to mediate forces that remain inaccessible to others on board. As a figure who stands at the threshold of knowledge, Fedallah becomes a conduit through which Ahab interprets fate, prophecy, and the unknown. At the same time, this very positioning prevents him from being assimilated into the social and moral framework of the crew. His presence exposes the limits of the Pequod's hierarchical and epistemological systems, revealing that what lies outside these structures is not absence, but a different—and unsettling—form of knowledge. In this sense, Fedallah's liminality is not merely a condition of marginality, but a structural necessity: he sustains Ahab's quest while simultaneously embodying the instability and uncertainty that the voyage seeks, but ultimately fails, to master. This liminal positioning is not merely social or symbolic; it is fundamentally epistemological. Precisely because Fedallah exists "between" established categories, he becomes the medium through which knowledge of the future is articulated, transforming spatial marginality into interpretive authority.

2.3. Mimicry and Shadowing

If Turner helps us understand Fedallah's structural role, the concept of "mimicry" from Homi Bhabha's "*Of Mimicry and Man*" (1984), highlights his relationship with Ahab. Bhabha describes mimicry as the colonial production of figures who are "almost the same, but not quite"—a resemblance that unsettles authority (*The Location of Culture*, 1994). Fedallah often appears as Ahab's double: both are driven by a singular pursuit, both speak in cryptic absolutes, both occupy liminal spaces (Ahab on the quarterdeck, Fedallah in the mast-head).

The text even suggests visual doubling. Ahab is introduced with his face "lividly badged" by a scar, "as if he had been cut away from the stake, when the fire has overrunningly wasted all the limbs" (*Moby-Dick*, ch. 28, p.123). Fedallah, in turn, is described as having "a face deeply marked,

as if it had been scorched by fire" (*Moby-Dick*, ch. 48, p.230). Both figures bear fire's imprint: Ahab through the livid scar, Fedallah through the scorched visage. In each case, the body is marked, burned, set apart from the ordinary crew. Placed side by side—the turbaned Fedallah and the scarred Ahab—they form a mirrored pair: Western captain and Eastern harpooner, each branded by fire, each visually othered. This doubling destabilizes hierarchy. Fedallah echoes Ahab's obsession, reinforcing it, but also undermines Ahab by making visible the irrational and fatal elements of his quest. In this sense, Fedallah functions as what Bhabha calls a "menace of mimicry," demonstrating the uncanny effect of colonial difference when it reflects back too closely upon authority.

2.4. Silence and Symbol from the perspective of literary cartography

Fedallah's silence throughout much of the novel amplifies his Orientalist aura. He is rarely given narrative space outside of prophecy, which makes him simultaneously potent and absent. As Spivak argues, the subaltern voice is often represented only in the form of mediation, filtered through dominant discourse. Fedallah exemplifies this: he "speaks" only in oracles that drive Ahab forward, not in self-articulation. His subjectivity is occluded; his voice becomes a vehicle for another's destiny.

Melville intensifies this effect by surrounding Fedallah with imagery of smoke, fire, and darkness. When he appears by Ahab's side at night, the imagery is infernal: a demonic silhouette, a figure of flame and shadow. These descriptions do not flesh out character but heighten his symbolic function, aligning him with superstition, fate, and doom. As Said might note, the "Oriental" here is not a real cultural presence but a set of signs marshaled to narrate the West's own crises—in this case, Ahab's monomaniacal pursuit. From the perspective of literary cartography, this symbolic and mediated construction marks a failure of representation: Fedallah cannot be fully "mapped" as a coherent subject, and is instead rendered through signs that reflect the narrative's epistemological limits rather than any stable identity.

Taken together, Fedallah's origin scene and subsequent representation reveal how Melville crafts him less as a man than as a map: a sign-system that routes the Pequod into fated waters. His Parsee identity links him to the East; his sudden emergence from the ship's hidden spaces signals secrecy and disruption; his liminal placement in the mast-head installs him as a spatial mediator. Orientalist tropes structure his depiction, but those tropes themselves become the logic of the voyage: fear of the Other becomes direction, prophecy becomes itinerary. In this way, Fedallah's silence is not an absence of meaning but a condition of it: by withholding direct self-articulation, he is transformed into a symbolic instrument through which the narrative maps Ahab's fate, reinforcing his role as both the source and the limit of interpretive knowledge.

3. Prophecy as Cartography

1. If Fedallah's first appearance aboard the Pequod installs him as an Orientalized enigma, his subsequent role as prophet transforms him into what may be called a shadow-cartographer, a character who produces alternative forms of spatial knowledge beyond empirical mapping. In a novel obsessed with maps, charts, and routes, Fedallah's prophecy functions as an alternative mapping system—one not of latitude and longitude, but of destiny. Each of his pronouncements reorients Ahab's pursuit, supplying not directions on a chart but way points in fate.

3.1. Fedallah's Three Prophecies

The heart of Fedallah's cartography lies in his three explicit prophecies. In Chapter 117, "The Prophet," he tells Ahab: "But before this ship, the Pequod, will be destroyed by Moby Dick, two hearses must verily be seen by thee upon the sea. The first not made by mortal hands; the second made of American wood. And hemp only can kill thee." (*Moby-Dick*, ch. 117, p. 544) These cryptic statements unfold as if they were instructions on a fatal route. The first establishes sequential "landmarks" (two hearses on the sea); the second dictates the final instrument (hemp rope). They are not advice but coordinates, structuring Ahab's understanding of what lies ahead. Ahab initially interprets these signs as promises of survival: if death can come only from hemp, and hearses are impossible at sea, then fate must be on his side. Yet this misreading reveals the cartographic power of prophecy, it supplies waypoints but leaves interpretation open, much as a chart requires a navigator. Ishmael later shows how each prophecy is fulfilled: the White Whale itself becomes the first hearse ("not made by mortal hands"), the Pequod becomes the second ("American wood"), and Ahab is strangled by his own harpoon line, the "hemp" that ensnares him. This prophetic mapping works because Fedallah's Parsee identity marks him as credible seer: in Zoroastrian cosmology, fire and destiny are entwined, and his scorched visage becomes a bodily emblem of fate.

Melville's private letters illuminate this symbolic logic. To Hawthorne he confessed: "It is the fire in us that lights the heavens and consumes us too" (Moby-Dick, p. 212–213). Fedallah embodies that paradox: fire as illumination and annihilation. His prophecy lights Ahab's course even as it seals his destruction. Cartography itself haunted Melville's imagination. In another letter to Hawthorne he wrote: "The chart of my life is a sort of palimpsest." (Moby-Dick, p. 213–214). A palimpsest is a map rewritten over itself—just as Fedallah's prophecy overwrites nautical charts with fatal coordinates. Melville's metaphor suggests that for him, life and writing alike were navigations through layered inscriptions. Fedallah's oracles perform the same function: they chart the Pequod's voyage not empirically but symbolically, inscribing over maps of latitude with maps of destiny. Finally, Melville described the world itself as a vessel: "The world is a ship on its passage out, and not a voyage complete" (Moby-Dick, p. 212). This cosmological metaphor resonates with Fedallah's mapping of the Pequod: the voyage is never free but always "in passage" toward its end. Fedallah's prophecy thus reconfigures the ship's journey as ritual sequence, transforming open sea into eschatological itinerary.

3.2. Prophecy as Route-Charting

Fedallah's oracles mirror the logic of mapping. J.B. Harley reminds us that maps are "a discourse of power, not mirrors of reality" (*The New Nature of Maps*, 2001, pp. 1-30). Fedallah's prophecy exemplifies this: it charts the voyage not by representing the ocean but by "structuring its possibilities". The Pequod may sail across countless leagues, yet the prophecy reduces that expanse to three fatal coordinates—two hearses and a rope.

Franco Moretti has argued that maps in literature are never neutral: they select, highlight, and narrativize space (*Atlas of the European Novel*, 1998, pp. 40–70). Fedallah's prophecy functions in exactly this way. He "maps" the sea not as a whaling ground but as a stage where symbolic landmarks will appear. His cartography is figurative but binding, narrowing Ahab's choices until every sight becomes confirmation of fate.

3.3. Fatal Misreading

The key to Fedallah's mapping lies in its double valence: it is both true and misunderstood. Ahab believes the prophecy guarantees his survival, since hearses and hemp seem implausible at sea. But the reader, armed with retrospective knowledge, recognizes that these conditions are not impossible but inevitable. This dynamic resonates with Homi Bhabha's account of colonial ambivalence. Colonial discourse produces signs that are authoritative yet unstable, both certain and reversible (*The Location of Culture*, 1994). Fedallah's prophecy is precisely such a sign. It stabilizes Ahab's resolve "I cannot die except by hemp!" (Moby-Dick, 1851, ch. 117, p. 544) even as it destabilizes him by foreshadowing destruction. The prophecy thus performs what Bhabha calls the "slippage" (The Location of Culture, 1994, pp. 85–92) of discourse: it sustains authority even while undermining it.

3.4. The Rope as Fatal Map

The final fulfillment of Fedallah's prophecy literalizes the link between cartography and fate. When Ahab is entangled in his own harpoon line and dragged beneath the sea (*Moby-Dick,* ch. 135), the rope functions as both physical instrument and fatal chart. The line delineates the path from Ahab's body to the whale, binding hunter and hunted into one trajectory. It is, as it were, a map inscribed not on paper but in water and flesh.

Michel Foucault's analysis of discipline and geometry in *Discipline and Punish* (1975) clarifies this inversion. Tools designed to structure space—rope, map, chart—become tools of destruction (Surveiller et punir, 1975, pp. 195–230). Ahab, who sought mastery of the whale, becomes mastered by his own line. Fedallah's prophecy thus demonstrates how cartographic instruments of power can turn against the very hand that wields them.

3.5. Toward Prophetic Cartography

Fedallah's prophecies thus constitute what may be called prophetic cartography, a term that is built on the framework of literary cartography to describe a mode of narrative mapping in which fate is structured and anticipated through prophecy rather than spatial representation. While literary cartography traditionally focuses on the mapping of physical or narrative space, prophetic cartography shifts the focus to temporality, tracing how future events are prefigured through symbolic signs and oracular discourse. This concept is particularly useful in analyzing Fedallah's role in Moby-Dick, where prophecy functions as a guiding structure that shapes the trajectory of the narrative, even as it resists full interpretation. The Pequod's voyage is no longer charted by star or sextant but by Fedallah's cryptic words. These words shrink the ocean's vastness into three fatal coordinates, ensuring that the ship's global wanderings serve only to fulfill its destiny.

The cartographic logic here is profound. Fedallah demonstrates that prophecy itself can function as a map—that space can be re-narrated through symbolic landmarks. His role transforms *Moby-Dick* from a novel of whaling routes into a novel of fatal itineraries. In doing so, he exposes the limits of Western navigation: charts and soundings cannot prevent catastrophe when the voyage is already inscribed by prophecy. In this sense, Fedallah's prophecies do not simply predict events; they function as a form of narrative mapping. By outlining the trajectory of Ahab's fate, they impose a directional structure onto the voyage, effectively transforming uncertain space into a charted—if ominous—course.

4. Conclusion: Fedallah's Prophetic Cartography

Fedallah remains one of the most enigmatic figures in *Moby-Dick*—a character who speaks little, appears late, and vanishes early, yet whose presence reshapes the novel's symbolic geography. This paradox lies at the center of his function: he is both marginal and central, silent and determinative, erased and unforgettable. By casting Fedallah as a Parsee, Melville inscribed him with layers of cultural meaning drawn from nineteenth-century Orientalist discourse. To Western readers, the Parsee was at once familiar and exotic: industrious in commerce yet shrouded in mystery, modern yet ancient, pragmatic yet mystical. Fedallah embodies this doubleness. His fire-scorched visage recalls Zoroastrian fire as symbol of destiny, while his silence renders him the perfect vessel for Ahab's obsessions. Melville's own letters confirm this symbolic logic: he described the "Oriental" as the most profound theme, and fire as that which "lights the heavens and consumes us too." Fedallah is that fire given human form—at once illumination and annihilation.

The core of Fedallah's role lies in prophecy. His three predictions—two hearses and a hemp line—reduce the ocean's vast openness to a narrow itinerary of doom. In doing so, he becomes a cartographer of fate. His words are not warnings but coordinates, transforming Ahab's voyage from open exploration into eschatological ritual. As J.B. Harley argued of maps, they are discourses of power rather than neutral representations; Fedallah's prophecy works the same way, inscribing over nautical charts with fatal directions. Melville's own metaphor of life as a "chart… a sort of palimpsest" resonates here: Fedallah's oracles overwrite empirical navigation with symbolic determinism. His death completes this prophetic cartography. Entangled in the very hemp he predicted, Fedallah becomes the prophecy embodied. Melville's letters describe his own life as "entangled… ropes of circumstance coil about me." In Fedallah's drowning, metaphor becomes flesh. The harpoon line that drags him beneath the sea also maps Ahab's final trajectory, tightening the prophetic noose until it ensnares both seer and master. Silence becomes his final utterance: the subaltern prophet who cannot speak in life fulfills his prophecy in death.

What, then, does Fedallah ultimately represent? He is not merely an Oriental ornament nor a gothic side figure. He is Melville's experiment in prophetic cartography, a character through whom space and time collapse into signs of fate. He demonstrates how Orientalism functions not only as cultural stereotype but as narrative strategy: the East becomes the site of fatal knowledge, the Other becomes the map of destiny. Yet Melville complicates this Orientalism by making it destructive rather than redemptive. Fedallah's prophecy does not illuminate the Pequod's voyage; it forecloses it. His map is not one of mastery but of annihilation.

In this light, Fedallah is both Melville's invention and his mirror. The letters reveal an author obsessed with fire, rope, maps, and fate. In Fedallah, these metaphors are dramatized with terrible clarity. He is Melville's own anxiety made character—the entanglement of imagination, prophecy, and destruction. Thus, Fedallah's presence forces us to read *Moby-Dick* not only as an epic of whaling or obsession, but as a meditation on the limits of knowledge and the inevitability of fate. His prophetic cartography is the dark twin of Ishmael's descriptive cartography. Where Ishmael maps the seas with charts, ports, and digressions, Fedallah maps destiny with omens, silences, and ropes. Together, they show that the ocean is never truly open: it is always already inscribed, whether by empirical lines or prophetic cords. In disappearing, Fedallah proves his power. His body is lost, but his map endures, written across the Pequod's destruction and Ahab's death. In this sense, Fedallah is both the most absent and most enduring figure in the novel—a prophet whose cartography outlives him, charting not only Ahab's fate but Melville's own vision of life as entangled voyage.

References

1. Bhabha, Homi K. *Of Mimicry and Man.* The MIT Press, 1984.
2. Bhabha, Homi K. *The Location of Culture*. Routledge, 1994.
3. Certeau, Michel de. *The Writing of History*. Translated by Tom Conley, Columbia University Press, 1988.
4. Foucault, Michel. *Discipline and Punish: The Birth of the Prison*. Translated by Alan Sheridan, Vintage Books, 1977.
5. Harley, J. B. *The New Nature of Maps: Essays in the History of Cartography*. Edited by Paul Laxton, Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001.
6. Melville, Herman. *Correspondence*. Edited by Lynn Horth, Northwestern University Press and The Newberry Library, 1993.
7. Melville, Herman. *Journal of a Cruise to the Pacific Ocean, 1849–1850*. Edited by Howard C. Horsford and Lynn Horth, Northwestern University Press, 1989.
8. Melville, Herman. *Moby-Dick; or, The Whale*. 1851. Edited by Hershel Parker and Harrison Hayford, Norton Critical Edition, 2nd ed., W\.W. Norton, 2002.
9. Moretti, Franco. *Atlas of the European Novel, 1800–1900*. Verso, 1998.
10. Said, Edward W. *Orientalism*. Pantheon Books, 1978.
11. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. *"Can the Subaltern Speak?" In Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture*, edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, University of Illinois Press, 1988, pp. 271–313.
12. Stuckey, Sterling. Go*ing through the Storm: The Influence of African American Art in History*. Oxford University Press, 1994.
13. Turner, Victor. *The Ritual Process: Structure and Anti-Structure*. Aldine Transaction, 1969.