BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

THE IMPORTANCE OF SOCIAL ENGAGEMENT IN DEVELOPING STUDENTS' PUBLIC SPEAKING COMPETENCE DURING THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS

Narimanova Shahodat Jumonozor qizi
1st year master's degree at OXU
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21562128

Abstract: Social engagement plays a pivotal role in fostering students' public speaking competence within the educational process. This article explores how interactive social activities—such as group discussions, debates, peer feedback sessions, and collaborative presentations—enhance students' ability to articulate ideas confidently, structure arguments logically, and engage audiences effectively. Drawing on sociocultural learning theories and empirical studies, it argues that public speaking is not merely a technical skill but a socially constructed competence developed through meaningful interactions. The paper examines theoretical foundations, practical implementations in educational settings, challenges, and recommendations for integrating social engagement strategies into curricula. It highlights benefits for academic achievement, personal development, and future professional success, with particular attention to contexts in higher education, including examples relevant to Uzbek educational practices.

Keywords: public speaking, social engagement, communication competence, student development, sociocultural theory, peer interaction, educational process, rhetorical skills, feedback, collaborative learning.

Introduction

In today's interconnected and communication-driven world, public speaking competence stands as a cornerstone of personal, academic, and professional success. Students who can express ideas clearly, persuade audiences, and engage in dialogue are better equipped to navigate academic discussions, leadership roles, and civic participation. However, developing this competence extends beyond individual practice; it is deeply intertwined with **social engagement**—the active interaction with peers, instructors, and broader communities during the learning process.

Social engagement provides the contextual scaffold necessary for students to internalize speaking skills. According to Lev Vygotsky's sociocultural theory, cognitive and linguistic development occurs primarily through social interactions within the Zone of Proximal Development (ZPD), where learners advance with guidance from more knowledgeable others (MKO), such as teachers or capable peers. Language, including public speaking, emerges from social speech and becomes internalized as thought and self-regulation.

In educational settings, this translates to activities like debates, group presentations, and feedback circles, which transform passive learning into dynamic, dialogic experiences. Traditional monologue-style public speaking is evolving toward interactive, audience-responsive forms, making social engagement essential.

This article investigates the mechanisms through which social engagement builds public speaking skills, supported by international and Uzbek scholarship. It addresses the structure of effective educational interventions and offers practical suggestions tailored to modern curricula.

Main Part

Social engagement contributes to public speaking development in multiple interconnected ways: building confidence through repeated exposure, enhancing rhetorical and organizational

skills via feedback, fostering emotional intelligence and adaptability, and promoting cultural and linguistic competence.

1. Theoretical Foundations

Vygotsky's theory underscores that higher mental functions, including advanced communication, originate in social relations. Students develop public speaking by participating in collaborative activities where they receive scaffolding—temporary support that is gradually withdrawn as competence grows. Private speech (self-talk during preparation) bridges social interaction to individual mastery.

Social learning theory (Bandura) complements this by emphasizing observational learning, modeling, and self-efficacy. Observing peers deliver speeches, receiving vicarious reinforcement, and practicing in supportive groups boosts students' belief in their abilities.

Empirical studies confirm these theories. Research on feedback sources shows that peer, teacher, and self-feedback in authentic classroom settings significantly improve public speaking performance and student engagement.

2. Practical Mechanisms of Development

- **Group Discussions and Debates**: These activities require students to listen actively, respond spontaneously, and defend positions—core elements of competent public speaking. They reduce anxiety by normalizing public discourse and building familiarity with diverse viewpoints.
- **Peer Feedback and Collaborative Presentations**: Structured peer review sessions help students refine content, delivery (voice, gestures, eye contact), and audience adaptation. Collaborative projects simulate real-world teamwork, where public speaking serves as a tool for idea-sharing and conflict resolution.
- **Role-Playing and Simulations**: Engaging in scenarios like interviews or panel discussions develops adaptability and non-verbal communication skills. Studies indicate role-play effectively improves speaking fluency and confidence.
- **Technology-Enhanced Social Engagement**: Online forums, video recordings for peer review, and virtual presentations extend interaction beyond the classroom, allowing reflection and iterative improvement.

In Uzbek educational contexts, initiatives integrating social-emotional learning (SEL) emphasize empathy, collaboration, and self-expression, which directly support public speaking. UNESCO-supported training for Uzbek teachers highlights creating interactive classrooms that build trust and active participation.

Kamola Shukurova's research at Webster University in Tashkent demonstrates that practical public speaking exercises in a supportive environment lead to measurable gains in fluency, organization, and confidence among Uzbek students, despite initial anxiety.

3. Benefits Across Domains

Socially engaged public speaking practice enhances critical thinking (organizing arguments), emotional regulation (managing anxiety), social competence (empathy and audience awareness), and academic outcomes. It prepares students for globalized workplaces where oral communication ranks among top employer demands.

Discussion

While the benefits are clear, challenges persist. Many students experience communication apprehension, cultural factors (e.g., shyness in hierarchical settings), or limited prior exposure to interactive methods. In some Uzbek contexts, traditional lecture-based approaches may undervalue oral skills.

Gender, socioeconomic background, and language proficiency can influence participation. Effective interventions must address these through inclusive, differentiated strategies—such as gradual exposure, positive reinforcement, and culturally sensitive topics.

Integration of social engagement requires teacher training, curriculum redesign, and assessment methods that value process (interaction) alongside product (delivery). Shukurova's mixed-methods study in Tashkent illustrates how combining surveys with classroom activities reveals both barriers and pathways to improvement.

Comparative perspectives show that countries emphasizing experiential learning (e.g., through Toastmasters-style clubs or debate societies) yield higher student outcomes in communication competence.

Conclusion and Suggestions

Social engagement is indispensable for developing students' public speaking competence. It transforms speaking from an isolated skill into a socially embedded capability, fostering confidence, critical thinking, and civic readiness. Educational systems that prioritize interactive, collaborative methods reap significant rewards in student development.

Recommendations:

1. Embed regular social speaking activities (debates, peer reviews, group projects) across subjects from secondary to higher education.
2. Provide teacher professional development on sociocultural approaches, SEL, and feedback techniques.
3. Create safe, inclusive classroom climates with progressive challenge levels to reduce anxiety.
4. Incorporate technology for broader engagement and self-reflection (e.g., recorded speeches with peer comments).
5. In Uzbekistan, expand SEL initiatives and develop localized public speaking modules drawing on national rhetorical traditions alongside modern methods.
6. Conduct further longitudinal research on long-term impacts in diverse cultural contexts.

By prioritizing social engagement, educators can empower students to become articulate, confident communicators ready to contribute to society.

References

1. Lucas, S. E. *The Art of Public Speaking*. McGraw-Hill Education, 2019. (General principles of audience engagement).
2. Truong, T.N. "Improving Speaking Skills... Role-Play." *EJEDU*, 2024.
3. Bylkova, S., Chubova, E., & Kudryashov, I. "Public speaking as a tool for developing students' communication and speech skills." *E3S Web of Conferences* 273, 11030 (2021). DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/202127311030. (pp. 1-10, on dialogic shift and preparation stages).
4. Shukurova, K. "The Importance and Benefits of Teaching Public Speaking Skills in Modern Education." *Central Asian Journal of Academic Research*, 2026. (Survey and practical findings from Tashkent).
5. Liu, T. et al. "Orchestrating Teacher, Peer, and Self-Feedback..." *Behavioral Sciences*, 2024. (Feedback impact on engagement).
6. Vygotsky, L.S. *Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes*. Harvard University Press, 1978. (Sociocultural theory foundations).

7. UNESCO. "UNESCO empowers Uzbek teachers: The role of social and emotional learning..." 2025.
8. De Grez, L., Valcke, M., & Roozen, I. "The Impact of an Innovative Instructional Intervention on Public Speaking Anxiety." *Journal of Applied Research in Higher Education*, 2012. (Cited in Shukurova).