BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

THE BELT AND ROAD INITIATIVE: FROM INCLUSIVE GLOBALIZATION TO A NEW STAGE OF HIGH-QUALITY DEVELOPMENT

Wang Lei
Master of Laws
Belarus State Economic University
E-mail: 570946979@qq.com
Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21825972

Abstract: Proposed in 2013, the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) has become a major platform through which China participates in global governance reform and promotes international development cooperation. This article reviews the initiative's conceptual evolution and practical outcomes and analyzes its transition from inclusive globalization to high-quality development. It focuses on infrastructure connectivity, trade and investment facilitation, and people-to-people exchange, as well as the Green, Digital, and Innovation Silk Roads. The analysis indicates that BRI cooperation has expanded transport and trade links and created additional development opportunities for participating countries. At the same time, project implementation faces persistent challenges related to debt sustainability, environmental and social impacts, geopolitical uncertainty, regulatory differences, and local institutional capacity. The article argues that the next phase should place greater emphasis on project quality, transparent risk assessment, green and low-carbon development, digital inclusion, and stronger alignment with host-country priorities and international standards.

Keywords: Belt and Road Initiative; inclusive globalization; high-quality development; Green Silk Road; Digital Silk Road

1. Introduction

In 2013, President Xi Jinping proposed the Silk Road Economic Belt and the 21st-Century Maritime Silk Road, jointly known as the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI). The initiative seeks to advance economic cooperation and shared development through policy coordination, infrastructure connectivity, unimpeded trade, financial integration, and people-to-people bonds [1]. Over the following decade, it developed from a strategic concept into a broad framework of bilateral and multilateral projects.

Research on the BRI has likewise moved from conceptual interpretation and macro-strategic discussion toward empirical analysis of implementation mechanisms and outcomes. Liu Weidong et al. identify infrastructure connectivity and coordinated socioeconomic development as central elements of the initiative [2], while Wang Yiwei considers whether the BRI represents a distinctive Chinese approach to globalization [3]. Following the Third Belt and Road Forum for International Cooperation in 2023, scholarly and policy attention increasingly shifted toward the meaning of high-quality development and the role of green, digital, and innovation-oriented cooperation [4].

Drawing on this literature, the article examines the BRI's theoretical foundations, major practical outcomes, emerging priorities, and principal implementation risks. Its central question is how the transition from expansion to quality changes the criteria by which BRI cooperation should be designed and evaluated.

2. Theoretical Foundations and Strategic Content

2.1 Inclusive globalization beyond the neoliberal model

The BRI emerged at a time of slower global economic recovery and growing skepticism toward globalization. Liu Weidong and Dunford describe its underlying logic as inclusive globalization, which differs from a model centered primarily on market liberalization and the unequal distribution of gains [5]. The principle of extensive consultation, joint contribution, and shared benefits emphasizes participation, negotiated interests, and access to development outcomes. In this sense, the BRI seeks to widen the role of developing countries in global economic governance.

At the level of national strategy, Yuan Xintao interprets the BRI as a mechanism for coordinating China's domestic development and international engagement and for shaping a more comprehensive pattern of opening-up [6]. Shen Xianjie and Xiao Jincheng further argue that changes in regional economic cooperation created a need for deeper alignment between China's development strategy and those of partner countries [7]. The initiative's legitimacy, however, depends on whether consultation and shared benefits are realized at the project level rather than remaining general principles.

2.2 The Five Connectivities as an operational framework

The BRI's operational framework consists of policy coordination, infrastructure connectivity, unimpeded trade, financial integration, and people-to-people bonds. Infrastructure is often treated as the starting point because inadequate transport, energy, and telecommunications networks constrain growth in many developing economies [8]. Better connectivity can reduce trade costs, improve factor mobility, and support participation in regional and global value chains.

The framework is broader than conventional development aid or one-way transfers of resources and technology. Its stated core is mutually beneficial cooperation, requiring coordination in national planning, project selection, financing, construction, and risk management. The Five Connectivities are therefore best understood as interdependent: infrastructure without regulatory coordination or social acceptance may have limited developmental effects, while trade and financial cooperation rely on physical and institutional links.

3. Practical Outcomes and Major Achievements

3.1 Infrastructure connectivity

Large-scale infrastructure construction has been the most visible dimension of BRI cooperation. China-Europe Railway Express routes now connect more than 200 cities across over 20 European countries, forming an important land logistics corridor across Eurasia. On the Maritime Silk Road, projects such as Piraeus Port in Greece and Gwadar Port in Pakistan have expanded regional transport capacity.

Rail projects also illustrate the initiative's move from planning to implementation. The China-Laos Railway and the Jakarta-Bandung High-Speed Railway have upgraded transport infrastructure

and may stimulate activity along their routes [9]. Their long-term developmental value nevertheless depends on passenger and freight demand, complementary local infrastructure, affordable financing, and effective integration with national development plans.

3.2 Trade and investment cooperation

Trade facilitation is another central area of BRI cooperation. Research on China's trade with participating countries points to increasing diversification and denser intra-regional connections [10]. Improved transport links, customs coordination, and digital trade services can lower transaction costs and give landlocked and less-connected economies better access to external markets.

The emerging trade network is significant because the BRI links corridors with different levels of industrialization, market size, and access to the sea. Connectivity can therefore affect not only the volume of trade but also its composition and geographical distribution. For participating states, the principal policy task is to convert improved access into productive upgrading rather than remaining dependent on transit revenue or a narrow range of primary exports. This requires complementary investment in logistics, standards, workforce skills, and local enterprise development.

Investment cooperation has also expanded, with Chinese capital supporting infrastructure, energy, manufacturing, and, increasingly, technology-intensive sectors. The developmental effect is not automatic: it varies with project selection, local procurement, employment and skills transfer, fiscal exposure, and the capacity of host institutions. Evaluating investment solely by its volume therefore obscures important differences in quality and local impact.

3.3 People-to-people exchange

People-to-people bonds provide the social foundation for long-term cooperation. Educational programs, cultural exchange, tourism, and health partnerships have widened contact between China and partner countries. The Belt and Road scholarship program, for example, has supported students from participating states in Chinese universities. The Health Silk Road and Cultural Silk Road have further broadened the initiative beyond physical infrastructure. Such programs are most sustainable when exchange is reciprocal, accessible, and responsive to local cultural and educational needs.

The social dimension also affects the performance of economic projects. Universities, professional associations, local governments, and civil-society organizations can explain local conditions, identify skills gaps, and reduce misunderstandings between project partners and communities. People-to-people exchange should therefore be integrated with, rather than separated from, infrastructure and investment planning. Two-way academic and professional mobility is especially important because it enables participating countries to contribute knowledge and priorities instead of being treated only as recipients.

4. The New Stage of High-Quality Development

4.1 From extensive expansion to project quality

At the Third Belt and Road Forum in October 2023, the transition to high-quality BRI cooperation was formally emphasized [4]. The shift signals that project numbers and geographical coverage are no longer sufficient measures of success. Greater weight must be given to economic viability, development impact, financial and environmental sustainability, and institutional durability.

Four requirements follow from this transition. First, feasibility and debt-sustainability assessment should be strengthened. Second, environmental standards should apply throughout the project life cycle. Third, technological innovation and digital transformation should connect the digital economy with productive sectors. Fourth, closer alignment and mutual recognition of standards should make cooperation more predictable and institutionally grounded.

High-quality development also changes the temporal horizon of evaluation. Completion of a physical asset is an intermediate result, not the final measure of success. Assessment should extend to operation and maintenance, utilization rates, local fiscal and employment effects, technology and skills transfer, environmental performance, and the capacity of domestic institutions to manage the asset over time. A life-cycle perspective makes it easier to identify risks early and to distinguish visible construction output from durable development impact.

4.2 The Green Silk Road

Green development has become a core dimension of the high-quality agenda. Since the 2015 policy document on the Silk Road Economic Belt and the 21st-Century Maritime Silk Road [1], China has issued policies intended to integrate environmental considerations into overseas cooperation. The Belt and Road Initiative International Green Development Coalition, established in 2019, provides a platform for policy dialogue, knowledge exchange, and environmental technology cooperation. The Green Silk Road can contribute to low-carbon development only if standards are measurable, disclosure is adequate, and energy and infrastructure choices are consistent with national climate commitments.

4.3 The Digital Silk Road

Digital cooperation offers a second avenue for high-quality development. China's capabilities in telecommunications, artificial intelligence, e-commerce, and electronic payments create opportunities for technology partnerships. By the end of 2022, China had signed Digital Silk Road cooperation memoranda with 17 countries and established bilateral Silk Road E-commerce mechanisms with 23. These initiatives may reduce geographic barriers and improve access to services, but they also require attention to cybersecurity, data governance, interoperability, competition, and the risk of widening digital divides.

4.4 The Innovation Silk Road

The Innovation Silk Road focuses on scientific collaboration, technology transfer, and human-capital development. Its mechanisms include joint laboratories, international research programs, science and technology cooperation zones, and innovation hubs [4]. These instruments can strengthen local research capacity when partnerships include joint agenda-setting, researcher mobility, skills transfer, and fair arrangements for intellectual property and data sharing.

5. Current Challenges and Policy Responses

5.1 Principal challenges

The first challenge is debt sustainability. Large infrastructure projects can generate significant fiscal obligations, especially when demand projections, exchange-rate risks, or contingent liabilities are not fully considered. Second, geopolitical competition and regional conflict may delay projects, disrupt supply chains, and politicize economic cooperation. Third, large projects may affect ecosystems and local communities, making credible environmental and social assessment essential. Further difficulties arise from differences in technical and legal standards, cultural expectations, and the administrative capacity of host countries.

These risks often reinforce one another. A project delayed by legal or political disagreement may face higher financing costs; weak consultation can turn environmental concerns into broader social opposition; and limited administrative capacity can reduce the quality of procurement, monitoring, and maintenance. Cultural differences are therefore not secondary to economic performance. They shape negotiation, community engagement, labor relations, and perceptions of fairness. Effective risk management must consequently combine financial analysis with legal, environmental, social, and intercultural expertise.

5.2 Response strategies

A high-quality response requires action at several levels. Project preparation should jointly assess economic, social, and environmental returns. Risk-management systems should cover political, financial, legal, environmental, and operational risks. A more diversified financing structure, including multilateral financial institutions and third-country partners, can improve scrutiny and distribute exposure. Transparency should be strengthened through timely disclosure of key project information and meaningful consultation with stakeholders. Finally, operations should be localized through compliance with host-country law, respect for cultural practices, local employment and procurement, and long-term transfer of managerial and technical capacity.

Because BRI projects differ substantially in sector, scale, financing, and national context, a single aggregate indicator cannot capture their quality. Evaluation should combine common principles with country- and project-specific benchmarks. Periodic public reporting on financial performance, environmental compliance, employment, procurement, and social outcomes would support comparative learning and allow problems to be corrected before they undermine long-term cooperation.

6. Conclusion

During its first decade, the BRI evolved from a broad strategic concept into a diverse set of infrastructure, trade, investment, educational, and technological partnerships. Its conceptual development from inclusive globalization toward high-quality development reflects a shift in emphasis from scale to viability, sustainability, and local benefit. The Green, Digital, and Innovation Silk Roads illustrate this attempt to broaden the initiative's instruments and objectives.

Future credibility will depend on implementation. The principle of extensive consultation, joint contribution, and shared benefits must be translated into transparent project selection, balanced allocation of risks and returns, environmental responsibility, and genuine partner participation. Stronger policy coordination, physical and digital connectivity, trade facilitation, responsible financing, and reciprocal people-to-people exchange can allow the BRI to contribute more effectively to sustainable development and to a community with a shared future for humanity.

References

1. National Development and Reform Commission, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, and Ministry of Commerce of the People's Republic of China. Vision and Actions on Jointly Building the Silk Road Economic Belt and the 21st-Century Maritime Silk Road. Beijing: Foreign Languages Press, 2015.
2. Liu, W. Scientific connotations and research issues of the Belt and Road Initiative. Progress in Geography, 2015, 34(5), 538-544. (In Chinese.)
3. Wang, Y. Can the Belt and Road Initiative create a Chinese model of globalization? Journal of Xinjiang Normal University (Philosophy and Social Sciences), 2017(5), 7-13. (In Chinese.)
4. Xi, J. Building an open, interconnected world for common development: Keynote speech at the opening ceremony of the Third Belt and Road Forum for International Cooperation. People's Daily, October 19, 2023. (In Chinese.)
5. Liu, W., & Dunford, M. Inclusive globalization: Unpacking China's Belt and Road Initiative. Area Development and Policy, 2016, 1(3), 323-340.
6. Yuan, X. An analysis of the national strategy for implementing the Belt and Road Initiative. Theoretical Monthly, 2014(11), 5-9. (In Chinese.)
7. Shen, X., & Xiao, J. New trends in international regional economic cooperation and China's Belt and Road strategy. Macroeconomics, 2014(11), 30-38. (In Chinese.)
8. Sheng, Y., Yu, H., & Yue, C. The content, characteristics, and strategic priorities of the Belt and Road Initiative. Reform of Economic System, 2015(1), 24-29. (In Chinese.)
9. Han, D., & Liu, S. China's railway diplomacy in the context of the Belt and Road Initiative: Historical evolution, typological features, and innovative approaches. Journal of the China Executive Leadership Academy Pudong, 2024, 18(2), 129-136. (In Chinese.)
10. Zou, J., Liu, C., Yin, G., et al. The trade structure between China and Belt and Road countries and its economic contribution. Progress in Geography, 2015, 34(5), 598-605. (In Chinese.)