BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg
BG PULSE JOURNAL

THE ROLE OF TECHNICAL VOCABULARY IN DEVELOPING PROFESSIONAL COMMUNICATIVE COMPETENCE

Isanova Visola Oybekovna
Jizzakh state pedagogical university(JDPU)
oybekovna_vs@mail.ru

Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21857134

Abstract: In the realm of English for Specific Purposes (ESP), the acquisition of technical vocabulary is often viewed as the primary step toward professional fluency. However, technical vocabulary is more than a list of terms; it is the foundation of professional communicative competence. This article examines the strategic role of domain-specific lexis in enabling practitioners to function effectively within their discourse communities. It explores the distinction between high-frequency general words and low-frequency technical terms, arguing that lexical precision is the key to professional credibility and efficiency.

Keywords: ESP (English for Specific Purposes), Technical Vocabulary, Professional Communicative Competence, Lexical Precision, Domain-specific Lexis, Sub-technical Vocabulary, Discourse Community.

Аннотация: В области английского языка для специальных целей (ESP) освоение специальной лексики часто рассматривается как основной шаг на пути к профессиональному владению языком. Однако техническая терминология — это не просто список слов; это фундамент профессиональной коммуникативной компетенции. В данной статье рассматривается стратегическая роль узкоспециальной лексики в обеспечении эффективного функционирования специалистов внутри их дискурсивных сообществ. Исследуется различие между высокочастотными словами общего языка и низкочастотными техническими терминами, и доказывается, что лексическая точность является ключом к профессиональному авторитету и эффективности.

Ключевые слова: ESP (английский для специальных целей), техническая лексика, профессиональная коммуникативная компетенция, лексическая точность, узкоспециальная лексика, субтехническая лексика, дискурсивное сообщество.

Annotatsiya: Maxsus maqsadlarga yo‘naltirilgan ingliz tili (ESP) sohasida texnik lug‘at boyligini o‘zlashtirish ko‘pincha kasbiy ravonlikka erishishning asosiy bosqichi sifatida qaraladi. Biroq, texnik leksika shunchaki atamalar ro‘yxati emas, balki kasbiy muloqot kompetensiyasining poydevoridir. Ushbu maqolada sohaga oid leksikaning mutaxassislarga o‘z diskursiv hamjamiyatlari doirasida samarali faoliyat yuritish imkonini beruvchi strategik roli tahlil qilinadi. Unda keng iste’moldagi umumiy so‘zlar va kam qo‘llaniladigan texnik atamalar o‘rtasidagi farq o‘rganiladi hamda leksik aniqlik kasbiy nufuz va samaradorlikning kaliti ekanligi asoslab beriladi.

Kalit so‘zlar: ESP (maxsus maqsadlarga yo‘naltirilgan ingliz tili), texnik lug‘at, kasbiy muloqot kompetensiyasi, leksik aniqlik, sohaga oid leksika, sub-texnik leksika, diskursiv hamjamiyat.

Introduction: In the era of rapid globalization and trans-boundary professional collaboration, the English language has solidified its position as the *lingua franca* of specialized discourse communities. Within the field of Applied Linguistics, English for Specific Purposes (ESP) has evolved to meet the precise communicative demands of professionals in diverse sectors such as engineering, medicine, law, and information technology. While the traditional communicative approach emphasizes holistic language acquisition, recent pedagogical shifts have refocused attention on the bedrock of specialized communication:

Technical Vocabulary: The mastery of domain-specific lexis is no longer viewed as a peripheral task of memorizing "word lists." Instead, it is recognized as a strategic imperative for developing **Professional Communicative Competence**. According to Hymes (1972), communicative competence transcends grammatical accuracy, encompassing the ability to use language appropriately within a social context. In an ESP setting, this context is defined by the technical rigor and stylistic conventions of a particular profession. Therefore, a practitioner's credibility is often inextricably linked to their lexical precision.
The fundamental problem facing many ESP learners, particularly in non-native environments like Uzbekistan, is the "lexical gap" that exists between general linguistic proficiency and professional utility. While a learner may possess a high level of General English, they often find themselves "linguistically paralyzed" when faced with the specialized nomenclature of their field. This discrepancy highlights the distinction made by Nation (2001), who categorized vocabulary into high-frequency, academic, and technical tiers. He argued that while technical vocabulary may represent a smaller percentage of a language's total words, it carries the highest "functional load" in specialized texts.

This article aims to explore the multifaceted role of technical vocabulary in bridging the gap between a language learner and a professional practitioner. It examines how lexical depth contributes to the three pillars of professional discourse: clarity, efficiency, and objectivity. Furthermore, the discussion will address the psychological and cognitive challenges associated with acquiring "sub-technical" vocabulary—words that possess common meanings in everyday life but undergo significant semantic shifts within professional frameworks (e.g., the word *yield* in finance versus agriculture).
Ultimately, this paper argues that the teaching of technical vocabulary should be integrated into the broader curriculum of communicative competence. By moving beyond translation-based methods and adopting context-rich, corpus-informed strategies, educators can empower students to not only "speak English" but to "speak the profession."

Technical Vocabulary: Whether it is a surgeon discussing a "myocardial infarction" or a software engineer explaining "asynchronous callbacks," the use of precise terminology is what distinguishes a professional from a layperson. This article argues that teaching technical vocabulary is not merely about memorization but about developing the ability to perform professional tasks through language.

Defining Technical Vocabulary in ESP: Technical vocabulary, often referred to as "domain-specific lexis," consists of words that are significantly more common in a specific field than in general language. According to Nation (2001), these words represent a small percentage of a language's total words but carry the highest functional weight in professional texts.

The Three Layers of Lexis

1. **High-frequency words:** General English words (e.g., increase, decrease).
2. **Sub-technical vocabulary:** Words that change meaning across fields (e.g., solution in chemistry vs. solution in mathematics).
3. **Highly technical vocabulary:** Words unique to a field (e.g., photosynthesis, habeas corpus).

Vocabulary as a Pillar of Communicative Competence: Communicative competence, as defined by Canale and Swain (1980), involves linguistic, sociolinguistic, and strategic competence. Technical vocabulary feeds into all three:

- **Linguistic Competence:** Mastery of the "labeling" system of the profession.
- **Sociolinguistic Competence:** Using the correct "jargon" to show membership in a professional group (Discourse Community).
- **Strategic Competence:** Knowing when to use a technical term and when to simplify it for a client.

Lexical Precision and Professional Credibility: In professional settings, ambiguity is a risk. A pilot or a pharmacist cannot afford to be "vague." Technical vocabulary provides the **precision** necessary to eliminate ambiguity.

- **Efficiency:** One technical word can often replace a whole sentence of general description.
- **Trust:** Using the correct terminology builds trust between professionals and their clients or peers.

Challenges in Acquiring Technical Lexis: Students in Uzbekistan and elsewhere often face the "Vocabulary Threshold" problem. They may know the words, but they cannot use them in a sentence. This is known as the gap between **receptive** (understanding) and **productive** (using) knowledge.

The Accuracy-Credibility Correlation: In fields such as medicine, aviation, or structural engineering, lexical ambiguity can lead to catastrophic consequences. Therefore, members of these discourse communities use highly specialized lexis to ensure a "one-to-one" relationship between a word and its referent. For example, a nurse describing a patient's condition as "having a fast heartbeat" sounds less professional and provides less clinical value than stating the patient has "tachycardia." The latter term provides an immediate, precise clinical picture that facilitates rapid decision-making.

The Psychological Impact of "Vague" Language: Using "General English" equivalents in a professional setting often triggers a negative psychological response from the listener. It creates a "linguistic barrier" that signals the speaker is an outsider.

- **The "Outsider" Effect:** If an IT consultant refers to "the thing that holds data" instead of the **"database"** or **"repository,"** the client may doubt the consultant's technical depth.
- **The "Expert" Effect:** Conversely, the correct use of "jargon" acts as a social password, granting the speaker entry into the elite circle of the profession.

The Problem of Collocation in ESP: Beyond Individual Word Meaning: One of the most significant hurdles in developing professional communicative competence is not the acquisition of isolated technical terms, but the mastery of **collocations**—the habitual juxtaposition of a particular word with another word or words with a frequency greater than chance. In ESP, knowing the meaning of a noun like "program" or "lawsuit" is insufficient; the learner must also know the specific verbs and adjectives that naturally "co-occur" with these terms in a professional context.

Lexical Collocations vs. Professional Accuracy

In General English, several verbs might describe the same action, but in technical English, only one is typically correct. This is what Lewis (1993) refers to as "the lexical approach," where language consists of grammaticalized lexis, not lexicalized grammar.

For instance, consider the differences across various ESP domains:

- **In Information Technology:** We execute a command or run a script (not "make" a script).
- **In Legal English:** A lawyer files a motion or overrules an objection (not "sends" a motion).
- **In Medicine:** A doctor performs an appendectomy or administers a dose (not "does" a dose).

The "Foreign Sounding" Professional: The primary consequence of poor collocational competence is that the speaker, while grammatically correct, sounds "unnatural" or "unprofessional" to members of the discourse community. If a financial analyst says they are "making a research" instead of **"conducting research"**, or "increasing the capital" instead of **"raising capital"**, their professional credibility may be subtly undermined. In high-stakes environments, such as aviation or surgery, incorrect collocations can even lead to misunderstandings with critical consequences.

Semantic Prosody in Technical Phrases: Collocations also carry what linguists call **semantic prosody**—the positive or negative "aura" a word acquires through its typical companions. In ESP, this prosody is often highly neutralized or strictly formalized. For example, in Engineering, "failure" is a neutral technical term (fatigue failure), whereas in General English, it carries a strong negative social stigma. Learners must understand the collocations of "failure" (e.g., structural failure, systemic failure) to discuss technical problems objectively without emotional bias.

De-lexicalized Verbs in Professional Discourse

Many ESP students struggle with "de-lexicalized" verbs—common verbs like set, take, make, do, hold—which change their meaning entirely based on their technical collocate.

- To hold a meeting (Business)
- To hold a patent (Law/Engineering)
- To hold a steady state (Physics)

A major challenge is not the word itself, but the words it "hangs out" with. For example, in IT, we run a program, but in Law, we file a lawsuit. Teaching technical vocabulary must include teaching these **collocations**.

Pedagogical Strategies for ESP Teachers: To bridge the gap, instructors should move beyond "word-translation" lists.

- **Corpus-Based Learning:** Using tools like the *British National Corpus* to show how professionals actually use a word.
- **Word Mapping:** Visualizing the relationship between terms.
- **Scenario-Based Learning:** Forcing students to use specific terms to solve a professional problem (e.g., "Explain this blueprint using 5 specific engineering terms").

Conclusion

The development of professional communicative competence is a journey that begins and ends with the mastery of lexis. As explored in this article, technical vocabulary is not merely an auxiliary component of language learning; it is the structural framework upon which professional identity and credibility are built. Without the precise "labels" provided by domain-specific lexis, the ability to communicate complex ideas remains superficial and prone to ambiguity.

For ESP practitioners, particularly in the evolving educational landscape of Uzbekistan, the pedagogical implications are clear. We must move beyond the "dictionary-translation" method and embrace data-driven, corpus-informed strategies. Our role is to bridge the gap between receptive and productive knowledge, ensuring that students do not just understand technical terms but can actively deploy them within their discourse communities.

In conclusion, technical vocabulary is the "verbal currency" of the global professional world. By prioritizing lexical depth and precision in our curricula, we empower the next generation of specialists to enter the international stage not just as English speakers, but as articulate and authoritative members of their chosen professions.

References:

1. **Anthony, L.** (2018). Introducing English for Specific Purposes. Routledge.
2. **Canale, M., & Swain, M.** (1980). Theoretical bases of communicative approaches to second language teaching and testing. Applied Linguistics, 1(1), 1–47
3. **Chung, T. M., & Nation, I. S. P.** (2003). Technical vocabulary in specialized texts. Reading in a Foreign Language, 15(2), 103–116.
4. **Coxhead, A.** (2000). A new academic word list. TESOL Quarterly, 34(2), 213–238.
5. **Dudley-Evans, T., & St John, M. J.** (1998). Developments in English for Specific Purposes: A multi-disciplinary approach. Cambridge University Press.
6. **Hymes, D. H.** (1972). On communicative competence. In J. B. Pride & J. Holmes (Eds.), Sociolinguistics (pp. 269–293). Penguin.
7. **Lewis, M.** (1993). The Lexical Approach: The State of ELT and a Way Forward. Language Teaching Publications.
8. **Nation, I. S. P.** (2001). Learning Vocabulary in Another Language. Cambridge University Press.