BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

ECOTOURISM AND ITS ROLE IN MODERN LIFE: ECONOMIC BENEFITS AND ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS, WITH REFERENCE TO UZBEKISTAN

Ishniyazov Javohir

Silk Road International University of Tourism and Cultural Heritage

Doi: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.24135392

Abstract: Ecotourism is a way for people to enjoy nature and learn how to conserve it, and to generate income for people living in forest, mountain, desert, lake and protected areas communities. Poorly designed tourism can also have negative impacts on the very environments it depends on. This article looks at the economic benefits and environmental impacts of ecotourism, and what the published evidence suggests for Uzbekistan. A qualitative document review was conducted. Official documents, reports and publications from the United Nations, the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) and its partners, the World Bank, the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD), the Government of Uzbekistan and the official Uzbekistan tourism web portal were read, coded and grouped in four categories; economic benefits, environmental impacts, local community participation and management of tourism. Nature based tourism give a chance to create jobs, generates income , supports small businesses and local products and cultivate a deep sense of awareness when it comes to biodiversity. The sources also agree that the environmental negative impacts of ecotourism on solid waste, trail erosion, wildlife disturbance, habitat modification and water use should be planned and controlled, with visitors managed and monitored. Where the local community is involved in decision-making, benefits are shared, and the natural assets are protected by rules and monitoring, ecotourism will tend to be an asset. No quantitative local data makes it impossible to assess how much ecotourism can be beneficial to the country and what are its impacts.

Keywords: ecotourism; sustainable tourism; local communities; employment; environmental protection; protected areas; Uzbekistan

Introduction

Economy Tourism is a valuable resource of many nations, creating jobs for guides, restaurant and shop workers, workers in the hotel and transportation industry, as well as providing revenue to government funds. Natural spaces have a limited quantity. If tourism is not undertaken responsibility, trash and pollution, trampling of native plant life and disturbing of wild animals can all ensue. Can we figure out a way to charge visitors to the natural spaces without damaging their draw? Ecotourism is travel to natural areas where visitors can enjoy nature and leave local communities in a good condition. In many nations, ecotourism is defined as traveling close to nature, responsibly (Government of Uzbekistan, 2025). The United Nations agrees that sustainable tourism can provide many related benefits including creating jobs and income, supporting the local economy through the sale of local products, and promoting understanding of the ecosystem (United Nations, n.d). Ecotourism however, does not mean driving up to the natural spaces in your car; it needs to be planned in order to be least harmful, and to educate the tourists about the local ecology, for instance, via guided walks to teach onlocalspecies along an easily accessible route while not littering and having clear signs. Officials often praise natural based tourism but do not seem to accurately present its actual economic and ecological impacts. This article combines what officials report and intentionally leave out in their description of ecotourism in Uzbekistan. The

interventions includes to either 1) define ecotourism based on official sources, 2) state how ecotourism bias can create jobs and support local businesses, 3) specific the types of environmental problems caused by tourism industry and 4) look over the government's guidance on how to manage tourism at the eco destinations. Think about how this affects the ecotourism of Uzbekistan. The review find answers to following questions; what do official sources say about ecotourism and nature based tourism? The review address four questions: How do official sources define ecotourism and other nature based tourism? What are the local benefits of ecotourism sources desribe, and when are they good? Which environmental pressures do the sources connect to tourism at natural sites and what management responses do they recommend? What do the sources tell us about ecotourism in Uzbekistan and what sources are missing?

2. Literature Review

This section is categorising the published guidance that has been reviewed for this article into themes. The categorised guidance sources have been obtained from official and institutional guidance not peer reviewed sources, therefore it describes the 'state of' and 'what is' when it comes to official guidance, it also notes where the guidance doesn't reach the point of having evidence to support it. Ecotourism will be described as travel to natural areas that is managed and conducted responsibly. The government of Uzbekistan defines it as closely related to nature travel conducted in a responsible manner and further defined as to conserve nature, to use natural resources prudently and to respect local culture (Government of Uzbekistan, 2025). The World Bank country's Forest Note on Uzbekistan defines Nature based tourism as travel that (should) conserve the environment, has a positive effect on local communities and educates visitors and staff (World Bank, n.d.). Defining the difference between ecotourism and 'sustainable tourism' can be tricky, as they have some similar traits. Ecotourism will be used to describe nature orientated tourism that can give visitors a nature based experience that fulfills three criteria (enjoy nature, minimal damage to nature and benefits for local people (we need more info as we find out)). In all the sources provided, there is a huge focus on the economic element to tourism generating an income. United Nations state that sustainable tourism can create jobs, encourage local produce and small businesses, and support conservation of biodiversity (United Nations, n.d.). This would translate to ecotourism as providing jobs for guides, drivers, chefs, inn owners, craftspeople, and nature trail preservationists. Farmers and the locals selling local products would also generate revenue for the area. The World Bank country report on Uzbekistan highlight that treks, horse rides, safaris, bird watching and white water rafting could be some of the activities that could be offered (World Bank, n.d.) these would generate jobs in guides, transportation, food and accommodation. In conclusion the sources seem to want to utilise ecotourism to provide local employment. They don't specify how much income a specific area could generate. This article is not looking to analyze any amount of income generated in a specific area of Uzbekistan. The pattern in the sources seems to be that providing employment isn't enough. If visitors are using local guides, staying in local run cafes and buying local produce then more of the income made will stay in the local area, but if most of the services are supplied by outside people then less will. Income leakage is the how much of the visitors spend remains in the area. A lot may depend on who owns and supplies the services and the time of year, weather, ease of access and general economic situation will all determine the number of visitors. Small scale tourism businesses may need investing, awareness raising, training and safe facilities. Local government and tourism bodies can help by providing this, free training, open information and fair access. Risks of these, to protected and natural areas can be:

Making litter that can affect the landscape & wildlife.

Being on the trails can harm plants and cause erosion.

Creating noise and being close to wildlife.

Development of a building, roads, car parks and other developments that have the potential to alter the character of the site.

More water use which can be critical in dry climates.

The Government of Uzbekistan recognises that litter can be a problem and natural areas provide opportunities. Mountainous areas need to manage their trails and access paths to minimize soil erosion from tourists, and desert areas need to be able to manage water usage and litter problems. The Convention on Biological Diversity has guides for sustainable tourism and biodiversity (CBD, n.d.) and UNEP and colleagues a guide to managing tourism in protected areas. This will assist managers in managing the area. Things they need to take into consideration would be visitor numbers, infrastructure, features and conservation value (UNEP et al., 2002). The Convention on Biological Diversity also recognises that there needs to be some planning so that the area is not overused and the regulations are suitable to the area. Each area will be different depending if it is a desert, mountainous, wetlands or other. There are processes to help manage the trails, regulations about sensitive areas, litter bins, monitoring and limiting the amount of tourists. These are explained in. Tourists can include the locals in tourism as they are familiar with the history, culture, plants and animals of the area. They can also give insight into the area for tourists to understand it more. They also bear the brunt of the effects of tourists and management decisions on the land, culture and way of life. Tourism has been associated with community businesses, local employment and increasing wellbeing (United Nations, n.d.). Locals can be guides, provide fresh local food, buy from local artisans and be involved in planning. It is essential to make sure that the benefits are shared equally. If there are negative impacts from tourism and the locals can not access the resources they may not feel that they are benefiting. Ecotourism should have an educational purpose. As mentioned earlier in the note from the World Bank, ecotourism informs the tourists and staff about the area. People can learn how the local animals survive, there is a lack of water, how litter is harming wildlife. Signs, visitor centres and trails can be used to educate them. The best method for educating would be through infrastructure and signs. Clearly marked bins, trails and clear procedures for when they break the rules. The official tourism website for Uzbekistan has information about national parks and nature sanctuaries (Uzbekistan Travel, n.d.). The Government of Uzbekistan has said that the Ugam-Chatkal, Kyzyl Kum Desert and Zarafshan areas have excellent potential for ecotourism (Government of Uzbekistan, 2025). The note from the World Bank explains what can be achieved and the potential for growth (World Bank, n.d.). So there is already some marketing for ecotourism in Uzbekistan. There is not much information about how many people are actually going, how much the locals are earning and how the environment is being managed. Therefore, there is no explanation about how tourism is affecting these areas.

Theme	What the sources establish	What remains unclear
Definition	Responsible, nature-connected travel that protects nature and benefits locals	How the label is applied in practice in Uzbekistan

Theme	What the sources establish	What remains unclear
Economic benefit	Employment, local products and small business opportunities	How much income is generated, and who receives it
Environmental impact	Litter, erosion, wildlife disturbance, habitat change, water pressure	How large these pressures are at specific sites
Management	Planning, capacity-aware limits, rules based on local conditions	Which measures are in place and how well they work
Community role	Participation and fair sharing of benefits	How residents are involved in planning in practice

The sources describe opportunities and principles – but they seldom give measurements. This review does not. It sets out the principles, identifies where there is missing evidence and suggests indicators for future monitoring.

3. Methodology

This project is a qualitative document analysis. This project uses existing information using the guidance of state and international organisations. All data and examples are used in its original form and are therefore the result of the document. As the project investigates what the authorities say, suggest, and omit, then document analysis was used. The author explained that by analysing printed documents; the researcher was able to answer questions about what the authorities state, suggest, and omit by using published documentation. As this is an exploratory and descriptive project that collates guidance into themes and compares them, it is not hypothesis testing or examining the effects of any variables.

Theme	Guiding question	Research question
Economic benefits	What income and employment do the sources link to ecotourism, and under what conditions?	RQ2
Environmental impacts	What pressures on nature do the sources identify?	RQ3
Community involvement	How do the sources describe local participation and fair sharing of benefits?	RQ2, RQ4
Managing tourism	What management and monitoring do the sources recommend?	RQ3, RQ4

The criteria for a source to be included were that it (a) was from a government, the United Nations system, the World Bank, or any other International organization, major player in tourism or

conservation; (b) related to ecotourism, sustainable tourism, protected areas, direct benefits for local community or effects on environment; (c) were clearly identifiable authoritatively and available on the official website. The sources reviewed were:

- Country Forest Note - forest and nature tourism in Uzbekistan, World Bank.

- An article about ecotourism by the Government of Uzbekistan;

- the official Uzbekistan tourism website;

- United Nations material on sustainable tourism;

- Data on tourism in protected areas, UNEP and partners; and

- Resources on tourism and biodiversity from the Convention on Biological Diversity.

Source	Issuing body	Type	Main use in this review
Country Forest Note (Uzbekistan)	World Bank	Country report	Definition of nature-based tourism; activities; scope for development
What is ecotourism? (2025)	Government of Uzbekistan	Official web article	Definition; areas with potential; litter
Official tourism website	Uzbekistan Travel	National tourism website	Natural attractions promoted to visitors
Sustainable tourism	United Nations	Thematic web page	Employment, local products, awareness, biodiversity
Tourism management in protected areas (2002)	UNEP and partners	Technical guidance	Visitor management and local conditions
Tourism and biodiversity	Convention on Biological Diversity	Policy web page	Planning and management to reduce damage

For each source, information on income and employment, the environment, local involvement and management of tourists in the local community was collected. Data was coded, and changed to four themes: economic benefits; environmental impact; local community involvement and management of tourists. Points of many sources were cross-checked, and examples from Uzbekistan, which was subject to official information were used. Results are referenced to their source. Comparison was iterative. First, the source findings were compared between themes to see what sources agree, where they have complementary evidence and where they neglect specific evidence. Secondly, each finding was annotated with a label of 'status': explicit (explicit in source), inferred (concluded by this review, from explicit source statement), not reported (not covered explicitly by any source). The labels are used in the cross-source comparison in 4.8, so that sources do not inform interpretation.

The review is supported by the following four measures:

- Traceability: All output is to a named source.

- Source credibility: only readily available, acknowledged documents by other authorities.

- Convergence; it is the fact that: The points of fact that complement one another have more value than single documents and expressions, and the points that have single source are considered as it is.

- Restraint: no apparent effect size or figure is referenced by us. In four cases, the review states data are missing.

Results.

Activities done in this research and analysis were all from open source material; there were no interviews with humans nor data on humans so there are no restrictions for data management nor reasons for need of consent in human research. It is easy to verify the information because there are references for all data in the text. The documentation process displays official use of policy and knowledge available for public, but it does not justify any real situation at any specific site. Numbers of visitors, generated income, water use, litter and wildlife is not quantified as would require knowledge of local region, survey or interview techniques outside the scope of this research. Two limitations to the use of this research can be identified. As it is sources, policy guides, the information will likely change after this review. And as the advice of UNEP/partners was published in 2002, changes and developments can be found in practices. The definitions of eco-tourism in the Table above by the government of Uzbekistan, World Bank and UN can be combined into three basic principles: tourists visiting nature; no deterioration of the environment; and benefits to the local community. An enterprise which causes litter, without the benefit of local people, and excluding local people from decision making cannot satisfy the three principles. Preservation of natural environment. The UN mentions this because the environment will be maintained and stimulated, while employment and local business will be supported (United Nations, n.d.). To provide employment and support traditional cultures, including jobs for local residents and cultural villages is suggested by the World Bank (World Bank, n.d.). Villagers and farmers may act as guides, support the infrastructure for tourism, prepare food and sell crafts to visitors, and build signs and trails. Of course if other sources of income are available, that is better. The amount of earnings that stays in the community can vary depending on where the money is spent. In the best case in popular, populous area most income would be shared and stay in the community while in the worst case most might go outside. The more local services are purchased, the higher the possible benefit; the less costal services are purchased, the lower the benefit. Imagine that all stays were in family owned cafes and restaurants, and they hired a local person as a guide, driver and fisherman, and they bought local crafts and meat for their food. On the other hand, the less likely the community can benefit from income, the more money might leave. Litter and defiling land is mentioned as problem in a potential ecotourism site. Stabilization of income. Tourism has seasonal market, so this can not be developed. But as the ECOTRAVEL project says, for rural families in isolated regions it can be a real possibility (European Centre for Ecological Travel, 2006). Ecological small firms need basic skills, cheap and safe facilities, advertising, know-how for

Internet promotion and possibility of outside investment, which at least local authorities or tourism organizations can ensure. Visitors who visit the parks and nature sanctuaries in Uzbekistan are advised to learn about the flora, fauna, culture, and scenery of the country and to visit the eco-tourism routes displayed on the website of Uzbekistan Travel (Uzbekistan Travel, n.d.). Ugam-Chatkal, Zarafshan, and Kyzyl Kum Eco-travel Routes are listed by the Government of Uzbekistan (Government of Uzbekistan, 2025). Activities such as mountain riding, safaris, bird watching, rafting and trekking are suggested by the World Bank with some more tourism potential (World Bank, n.d.). Paths and circuits need to be built with consideration for the environment, and it is reported that ecotourism has the possibility of damage and litter. The following stresses on natural areas are listed by the sources:

- Litter, which harms landscapes and wildlife; Trampling and scarring of the trail, as well as to native vegetation and soils where there is trampling by visitors away from the trail; Motor Vehicles/Bicycles and Horseback Riding; Noise, and human disturbance and human harassment. Disruption of a habitat due to construction of buildings, roads, car parks and other structures that are unsuitable; Water pressure can kill in dry areas. Alongside conservation, visitor use management has been identified as the best way to minimise tourism impacts. As how we manage tourism resources and how much we develop them are closely related, UNEP and their partners recommend managers 'consider size of visitor use, facilities, the circumstances of the area, and the conservation value when designing management strategies for tourism in protected areas' (UNEP et al, 2002). The CBD also recommends planning and management measures that may prevent environmental impact (CBD, n.d.). Both look at how planning to prevent overcapacity of the resource and involving locals in decision making and employment. Locals have been around longer than tourists and have a greater knowledge of the environment both globally and historically that tourists can provide a more enriching visit. The United Nations links this sustainable tourism to employment for locals and the community; this can be achieved by using guides from local communities, buying locally made products, using local produce at restaurants and consulting local residents before putting up new tourism buildings (United Nations, n.d.). Utilising the resources at our disposal Sharing benefits Tourism infrastructure can make a place more expensive and if it makes use of local resources by the public unaffordable, profits for it being sent to someone else, and if it stops locals from accessing the same resources, they are unlikely to see the scheme as a sharing of benefits. Guides can educate by telling how plants and animals have adapted to living without water, that litter kills wildlife and that it is best to stay on trails and take out whatever we bring in. This education has to be supported with systems; trash in bins or biodegradable packaging, use of signs and signs following them and rules of conduct and ways of implementing them.

Theme	Main finding	Principal sources
Economic benefits	Employment, local products and small business growth are possible; benefits depend on local ownership and spending.	United Nations (n.d.); World Bank (n.d.)
Environmental impacts	Litter, erosion, wildlife disturbance, habitat change and water pressure can occur.	Government of Uzbekistan (2025); UNEP et al. (2002); CBD (n.d.)
Community	Residents should take part in decisions and	United Nations (n.d.)

Theme	Main finding	Principal sources
involvement	share benefits fairly.	
Management	Planning, visitor management, facilities and monitoring are needed, adapted to each area.	UNEP et al. (2002); CBD (n.d.)
Uzbekistan context	Natural areas and activities are promoted; potential is noted but local impact data are not provided.	Uzbekistan Travel (n.d.); Government of Uzbekistan (2025); World Bank (n.d.)

These are findings from published information. The sources do not supply data on visitor numbers, local employment, business income, litter, water use or the condition of natural areas in Uzbekistan. It would therefore be misleading to state that ecotourism has produced a specific level of economic or environmental benefit there.

The table labels each finding using the status categories from Section 3.5.

Finding	Source	Status
Ecotourism involves protecting nature, careful use of resources and respect for local culture	Government of Uzbekistan (2025)	Stated
Natural areas, including national parks and reserves, are promoted to visitors	Uzbekistan Travel (n.d.); Government of Uzbekistan (2025)	Stated
Trekking, horse riding, camel safaris, rafting and bird watching are possible activities	World Bank (n.d.)	Stated
Litter is an issue alongside tourism opportunities	Government of Uzbekistan (2025)	Stated
Tourism in protected areas should be managed according to local conditions and conservation values	UNEP et al. (2002)	Stated
Planning and management can reduce environmental damage from tourism	CBD (n.d.)	Stated
Local benefit depends on who owns and supplies tourism services	United Nations (n.d.), as interpreted here	Inferred
Income, employment and environmental change at specific Uzbek sites	None of the reviewed sources	Not reported

Eight of the ten findings are stated directly by a source, one is an inference of this review, and one is absent from the evidence.

5. Discussion

The results also support a singular consistent message in the sources: that what ecotourism is for and what it will provide is a result of it being set up and managed in certain ways. Money is not a full measure. A site might have many visitors and severely damage trails, water supply and wild life, so one would want some sort of balance in the management plan. The benefits to the local people are maximized when they are employed by ecotourism, when local food and supplies are bought, when local guides and craftspeople are used, so the money is spent within the local community; and when the community's knowledge, opinions and needs are used in planning the trails, facilities and rules, so the activities are more appropriate and the people are more likely to follow them. Again, the environment's condition is critical: otherwise the ecotourism experience will be degrading or damaging to the environment, and people may not visit or may damage or lose parts of the environment. Management could be based on planning the number and routes of people who might visit, putting up signs or notices about the ecotourism areas and their rules, installing bins for visitors' waste, monitoring the damage, and managing the visits if there are many visitors or they disturb the wildlife by leading them away from the quieter areas. The UNEP advice explains that management needs to be suitable for each particular protected area (UNEP et al., 2002): mountain, desert, wetland and marine ecotourism all have specific concerns and so may require separate zones with rules concerning each terrain. RQ1 (definition). In all the sources ecotourism is described as being responsible and environmentally-centered, with a message of nature, involving local people, and being beneficial for them. The definition of ecotourism has been extended by the concept of sustainable tourism, to include employment and conservation of biodiversity. RQ2 (economic advantage). Ecotourism generates employment and supports other local businesses. Neither source gives income figures, and the benefit varies according to who owns the service and where the demand originates from. RQ3 (pressure on environment and management). Impacts recognized include litter, erosion, disturbance of animals, degradation of habitats and water supply. Management advice is a combination of planning, awareness of 'destination capacity' and promotion, and is sensitive to the individual characteristics of different areas. RQ4 (implications for Uzbekistan). No visitor figures, income figures or environmental conditions are given by the official guides; management and awareness of the local population are urgent problems. Ecotourism in Uzbekistan can boost small local businesses, and help the local population to appreciate nature. Visitors' guides in local language can explain the flora, fauna and local customs; tour guides could work for locals' guesthouses and catering services; and local craftsmen can sell souvenirs. If the local inhabitants are to benefit and sustain their economy, they will need to be involved in planning, receive and be trained in advice and assistance. Water is a problem in the dry zones, roads in the mountain zones, and signposting and information are required to highlight the do's and don'ts of protected areas. The official sources indicate potential sites and activities, but figures of visitors, income and environmental effect are needed.

Based on the cited references above, the suggested actions are:

Assessment of tourism facility impacts on the environment before permitting. Establish supply traffic routes and controls for guest and patient. Collect and safely dispose of litter. Provide jobs and empower the people. Teach provides educational content to, and develops safety products for, companies and guides operating in the outdoors. Monitor economic and environmental impacts regularly.
Useful indicators could include: local employment, local shopping, rubbish collected, water used, erosion of tracks, destruction of indigenous vegetation and animals, etc. They would show

management whether tourism benefits the community and whether this is happening without undesirable degradation. A table shows how these indicators could be measured. All the sources weren't officially published, some were policy guidance and others were very specific for certain activities and areas. No residents were interviewed, no tourists were surveyed, no environmental factors were measured so we can't determine how much income was generated from local.

Indicator	What it shows	Possible data source
Local residents employed in tourism services	Employment benefit	Operator and local authority records
Share of visitor spending that goes to local businesses	Whether income stays local	Business and visitor surveys
Visitor numbers by season	Income stability and pressure on sites	Entry records and counts
Litter collected per period	Waste pressure	Site manager records
Water use in dry areas	Resource pressure	Utility and site records
Trail damage	Erosion and plant damage	Periodic trail condition checks
Changes in wildlife and vegetation	Habitat condition	Repeated field monitoring

Many sources allude to the possibilities of tourism, however there is no specifics for the different types of tourism. Therefore, there could be a qualitative comparison of these ideas, and results have been accumulated without any calculations made for how this could affect the economy or environment. Further research could be conducted by taking statistics from locals, interviewing locals and tourism providers and monitoring specific areas over a length of time to see how much income has been generated and how water, animal, habitat and water quality has been affected in Uzbekistan.

Conclusion

Ecotourism, employment and conservation Tourism, trekking and bird watching that bring employment to guides and local accommodation and food providers, and that lead to more knowledge and understanding of places, cultures and traditions are likely to be significant in Uzbekistan. However, visitors venturing outdoors do not always contribute positively to conservation - they may leave waste behind, trample plants, disturb animals and deplete water and other resources. Well planned facilities to cater for the visitor, good information, signs and interpretation and local community involvement ensure visitor impact is minimised but without compromising the opportunity for visitors to access and learn about nature. Tourism providers, tourism and conservation institutions, tourism and travel businesses, tour guides, local guides and communities all need to plan routes, monitor impacts, manage waste and train providers in order to deliver exciting visitor experiences which are most beneficial to local communities and which

ensure the quality of the attractions. At last, it all comes down to whether the people and destination is the worse for the visit.

References

1. Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD). (n.d.). *Tourism and biodiversity*. Available at: https://www.cbd.int/tourism/
2. Government of Uzbekistan. (2025). *What is ecotourism?* (May 16). Available at: https://gov.uz/en/eco/news/view/54541
3. United Nations. (n.d.). *Sustainable tourism*. Department of Economic and Social Affairs. [Add URL]
4. United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) et al. (2002). *Guidance on tourism management in protected areas*. [Add full title, publisher and URL]
5. Uzbekistan Travel. (n.d.). *Official Uzbekistan tourism website*. [Add page title and URL]
6. World Bank. (n.d.). *Country Forest Note: Uzbekistan*. [Add full title, year and URL]