BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

THE IMAGE OF CENTRAL ASIAN CITIES IN THE 19TH CENTURY BASED ON THE WORKS OF EUROPEAN TRAVELERS

Oybek Rakhimov Khasanboy ugli
PhD Student, Department of History of Uzbekistan,
National University of Uzbekistan named after Mirzo Ulugbek,
Tashkent, Uzbekistan
ORCID: 0009-0003-9766-5307
E-mail: oybekjon.9990@gmail.com
Tel.: +998 93 976 99 90
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20502365

ABSTRACT: This article examines the image of Central Asian cities in the nineteenth century based on the accounts of European travelers and researchers. Particular attention is paid to the architectural appearance, socio-economic life, handicraft production, trade relations, and neighborhood structure of major cities such as Bukhara, Khiva, Kokand, Samarkand, and Tashkent. Drawing on the observations of Arminius Vámbéry, Alexander Burnes, Nikolai Khanikoff, and other travelers, the study analyzes urban landscapes, irrigation systems, public amenities, and the daily life of the local population. The article highlights the significance of travel accounts as valuable historical sources and demonstrates their contribution to reconstructing the urban image of Central Asia in the nineteenth century.

Keywords: Central Asia, nineteenth century, European travelers, Bukhara, Khiva, Kokand, Samarkand, Tashkent, urban history, handicrafts, neighborhood system, irrigation.

ОБЛИК ГОРОДОВ ЦЕНТРАЛЬНОЙ АЗИИ XIX ВЕКА ПО МАТЕРИАЛАМ ТРУДОВ ЕВРОПЕЙСКИХ ПУТЕШЕСТВЕННИКОВ

АННОТАЦИЯ : В данной статье анализируется облик городов Центральной Азии XIX века на основе трудов европейских путешественников и исследователей. Особое внимание уделяется архитектурному облику, социально-экономической жизни, ремесленному производству, торговым отношениям и системе махалли в таких городах, как Бухара, Хива, Коканд, Самарканд и Ташкент. На основе наблюдений Арминия Вамбери, Александра Бернса, Николая Ханыкова и других путешественников исследуются особенности городской среды, благоустройства, ирригационных сооружений и повседневной жизни населения. В статье раскрывается значение путевых записок как важного исторического источника для изучения городской истории Центральной Азии и реконструкции облика городов региона в XIX веке.

Ключевые слова: Центральная Азия, XIX век, европейские путешественники, Бухара, Хива, Коканд, Самарканд, Ташкент, история городов, ремесло, махалля, ирригация.

INTRODUCTION: Central Asia has historically occupied a strategic position at the crossroads of major civilizations, serving as a bridge between East and West for centuries. Owing to its favorable geographical location along the Silk Road, the region became home to numerous influential urban centers, including Bukhara, Samarkand, Khiva, Kokand, Tashkent, Andijan, and Urgench. These cities played a significant role in the political, economic, and cultural development of the region and functioned as important centers of trade, education, craftsmanship, and governance.

The nineteenth century represents a crucial period in the history of Central Asia. During this time, the region experienced significant political, economic, and social transformations. Although the traditional political structures of the Bukhara Emirate, the Khiva Khanate, and the Kokand Khanate remained influential, the growing geopolitical rivalry between the Russian Empire and Great Britain, commonly referred to as the "Great Game," considerably increased European interest in Central Asia. As a result, numerous diplomats, military officers, travelers, and scholars visited the region and documented their observations in travel accounts, reports, memoirs, and scientific studies.

European travel literature constitutes one of the most valuable sources for reconstructing the historical image of Central Asian cities in the nineteenth century. These accounts provide detailed descriptions of urban landscapes, architectural monuments, fortifications, marketplaces, irrigation systems, residential quarters, and the everyday life of local populations. The works of travelers such as Alexander Burnes, Nikolai Khanikoff, Arminius Vámbéry, Philip Efremov, and Thomas Burnashev contain extensive information regarding the socio-economic and cultural conditions of the region. Their observations enable modern researchers to examine various aspects of urban life that are often insufficiently reflected in local historical sources.

At the same time, travel narratives must be approached critically. The descriptions provided by European travelers were frequently shaped by their personal experiences, cultural backgrounds, political interests, and the broader geopolitical objectives of their respective states. While some authors attempted to present objective observations, others interpreted the realities of Central Asia through orientalist perspectives or political considerations. Therefore, a comparative and critical analysis of these sources is necessary to distinguish factual information from subjective interpretations.

The study of Central Asian cities through European travel accounts remains particularly relevant because it contributes to a more comprehensive understanding of the region's urban history and socio-economic development. Furthermore, these sources provide valuable insights into the architectural appearance, administrative organization, commercial activities, and cultural life of nineteenth-century Central Asian societies. Despite the growing scholarly interest in the history of Central Asia, the urban image of the region as reflected in European travel literature has not yet been sufficiently examined within modern historiography.

The purpose of this article is to analyze the image of Central Asian cities in the nineteenth century based on the works of European travelers and researchers. Particular attention is devoted to the architectural characteristics, urban structure, economic activities, handicraft production, irrigation systems, and social organization of major cities such as Bukhara, Khiva, Kokand, Samarkand, and Tashkent. The research employs historical, comparative, source-critical, and analytical methods to evaluate travel accounts and reconstruct the urban landscape of Central Asia during the nineteenth century.

LITERATURE REVIEW AND METHODOLOGY: The historical image of Central Asian cities in the nineteenth century has attracted the attention of numerous scholars, travelers, diplomats, and historians. Among the available historical sources, European travel accounts occupy a particularly important place due to the richness of their descriptions and the diversity of information they contain regarding the political, economic, social, and cultural life of the region. These works provide valuable evidence for reconstructing the urban landscape of Central Asia during a period marked by significant political transformations and increasing foreign interest in the region.

The earliest European descriptions of Central Asia can be traced back to the travel accounts of Anthony Jenkinson, whose observations provided Western audiences with some of the first detailed information about the region. Later, Philip Efremov's memoirs contributed significantly to the understanding of the political and economic conditions of the Bukhara Emirate. However, it was during the nineteenth century that European interest in Central Asia intensified considerably, resulting in a substantial increase in travel literature and scholarly investigations.

Among the most influential sources on nineteenth-century Central Asia are the works of Alexander Burnes, whose Travels into Bokhara remains one of the most comprehensive descriptions of the region produced by a European observer. Burnes provided detailed accounts of urban architecture, trade relations, marketplaces, and the everyday life of local inhabitants. His observations constitute an indispensable source for studying the urban development and economic activities of Central Asian cities.

Another important contribution was made by Nikolai Khanikoff, whose work Bokhara: Its Amir and Its People offers extensive information about the administrative structure, social organization, and economic life of the Bukhara Emirate. Khanikoff paid particular attention to the composition of urban society, the organization of neighborhoods, and the functioning of local institutions. Similarly, the travel narratives of Arminius Vámbéry contain valuable descriptions of Bukhara, Khiva, and Kokand, including observations on architecture, education, religious life, and urban infrastructure.

Modern historiography has also made significant contributions to the study of Central Asian urban history. The multi-volume publication History of Civilizations of Central Asia, edited by Chahryar Adle and Irfan Habib, provides a comprehensive analysis of the political, economic, and cultural developments of the region from the sixteenth to the nineteenth centuries. Likewise, the studies of Mary Holdsworth, Fernand Braudel, Svat Soucek, and other scholars have offered important theoretical and methodological frameworks for understanding the historical evolution of Central Asian cities and societies.

A review of the existing literature demonstrates that European travel accounts contain extensive factual information regarding the urban environment of Central Asia. Nevertheless, these sources should not be regarded as entirely objective. The descriptions and evaluations presented by travelers were often influenced by their cultural backgrounds, political affiliations, personal experiences, and the geopolitical interests of their respective countries. Consequently, a critical examination of these narratives is necessary to distinguish reliable observations from subjective interpretations and stereotypes.

This study is based on the principles of historicism, objectivity, and systematic analysis. Several methodological approaches were employed throughout the research process. First, the source-critical method was used to assess the reliability, authenticity, and informational value of travel accounts. Second, the comparative-historical method enabled the comparison of observations made by different travelers regarding the same cities and phenomena. Third, analytical and descriptive methods were applied to examine various aspects of urban life, including architecture, trade, handicrafts, irrigation systems, and social organization. Finally, the method of historical reconstruction was employed to recreate the general image of nineteenth-century Central Asian cities based on the available documentary evidence.

The combination of these methodological approaches allows for a comprehensive evaluation of European travel literature and facilitates a deeper understanding of the urban development, socio-economic structures, and cultural characteristics of Central Asian cities during the nineteenth century.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION: European travelers consistently described Central Asian cities as important political, economic, and cultural centers of the region. Despite the decline of the Silk Road's international significance, cities such as Bukhara, Khiva, Kokand, Samarkand, and Tashkent continued to play a vital role in regional commerce and administration. Most travelers emphasized the distinctive urban character of these cities, which combined Islamic architectural traditions, defensive infrastructure, and vibrant commercial activities.

The majority of urban settlements were surrounded by massive defensive walls constructed from adobe bricks and reinforced by towers and gates. These fortifications served not only military purposes but also symbolized political authority and urban identity. European observers frequently noted the contrast between the seemingly austere exterior appearance of the cities and the rich architectural and cultural environment found within their walls.

The urban landscape was dominated by mosques, madrasas, caravanserais, markets, bathhouses, and administrative buildings. Religious and educational institutions occupied a central position in urban life, reflecting the importance of Islam in shaping the social and cultural structure of Central Asian society. At the same time, the presence of large marketplaces and trading quarters demonstrated the economic significance of these cities within regional trade networks.

Among all Central Asian cities, Bukhara received the greatest attention from European travelers. It was commonly portrayed as the religious and political heart of the region. Alexander Burnes, Nikolai Khanikoff, and Arminius Vámbéry provided detailed descriptions of the city's extensive network of mosques, madrasas, and commercial centers. They emphasized the city's role as a major hub connecting trade routes from Russia, Persia, Afghanistan, and India.

Travelers were particularly impressed by the architectural monuments of Bukhara, including its monumental madrasas, minarets, and public squares. However, some observers also pointed to the deteriorating condition of certain structures, suggesting that economic and political difficulties had affected urban development during the nineteenth century. Despite these challenges, Bukhara maintained its reputation as one of the most influential cities in Central Asia.

Khiva was often described as one of the most distinctive urban centers of the region. European visitors highlighted the city's unique architectural unity, especially within the walls of the Ichan-Qala. The city's compact structure, defensive fortifications, and well-preserved Islamic monuments distinguished it from other urban settlements. Travelers frequently noted the remarkable concentration of religious and administrative buildings within a relatively small area.

Kokand, the capital of the Kokand Khanate, was portrayed as a rapidly developing political and economic center of the Fergana Valley. European accounts emphasized the city's extensive gardens, irrigation systems, bustling markets, and royal architecture. Particular attention was given to the palace of Khudayar Khan, which symbolized the wealth and political aspirations of the Kokand elite. Compared with Bukhara and Khiva, Kokand was often perceived as a more dynamic and economically active urban center.

One of the most distinctive features of nineteenth-century Central Asian cities was the organization of urban society through the mahalla system. European travelers frequently observed that cities were divided into numerous neighborhoods, each functioning as a relatively autonomous social and administrative unit. These neighborhoods played a crucial role in maintaining social order, resolving local disputes, and organizing communal activities.

The mahalla system reflected both occupational specialization and social identity. In many cities, artisans practicing similar crafts lived and worked within the same neighborhoods. Consequently, districts of blacksmiths, potters, jewelers, weavers, and other craftsmen emerged

throughout the urban landscape. This spatial organization facilitated economic cooperation and strengthened professional networks among artisans.

Travelers also noted that urban development generally followed a concentric pattern. The oldest part of the city, usually consisting of a citadel or administrative center, formed the core around which new residential quarters gradually developed. This pattern was particularly evident in cities such as Bukhara and Samarkand, where successive stages of urban expansion could be observed through the arrangement of neighborhoods and defensive structures.

The existence of strong local institutions contributed to urban stability and social cohesion. Although modern municipal administrations did not exist, the combination of traditional authorities, religious institutions, and neighborhood organizations provided an effective framework for governing everyday urban life.

The economic prosperity of Central Asian cities was closely connected to handicraft production, commerce, and irrigation-based agriculture. European travelers repeatedly emphasized the high level of craftsmanship found throughout the region. Artisans engaged in jewelry making, metalworking, weapon production, textile manufacturing, ceramics, and leather processing constituted an important segment of urban society.

Among these occupations, metalworking and jewelry production were particularly prestigious and profitable. Skilled craftsmen often employed apprentices and hired workers, creating complex systems of production and knowledge transmission. The master-apprentice tradition ensured the continuity of specialized skills across generations and contributed to the preservation of local artistic and technological traditions.

Trade represented another fundamental component of urban life. The central markets of Bukhara, Khiva, and Kokand served as major commercial centers where merchants from neighboring regions exchanged agricultural products, manufactured goods, livestock, and luxury commodities. The diversity of products available in these markets impressed many European visitors and demonstrated the economic vitality of the region.

Irrigation systems played an equally important role in sustaining urban economies. Because much of Central Asia is characterized by arid and semi-arid climatic conditions, agricultural production depended heavily on artificial irrigation. Travelers frequently described extensive networks of canals, reservoirs, and water distribution systems that supported agriculture and urban settlements. The maintenance and expansion of these systems by local authorities contributed significantly to the development of horticulture, cotton cultivation, and grain production.

The observations of European travelers reveal that handicrafts, trade, and irrigation formed an interconnected economic foundation that sustained the growth and stability of nineteenth-century Central Asian cities. These sectors not only supported urban populations but also facilitated cultural interaction and regional integration throughout the broader Central Asian landscape.

CONCLUSION: The analysis of European travel accounts demonstrates that these sources constitute an important body of historical evidence for reconstructing the image of Central Asian cities in the nineteenth century. The observations recorded by travelers, diplomats, and researchers provide valuable information regarding the architectural appearance, socio-economic structure, cultural environment, and everyday life of urban communities throughout the region.

The study reveals that major cities such as Bukhara, Khiva, Kokand, Samarkand, and Tashkent served as the principal political, economic, and cultural centers of Central Asia. Despite experiencing periods of political instability and economic challenges, these urban centers preserved their significance as administrative capitals, commercial hubs, and centers of Islamic learning. Their urban landscapes were characterized by monumental religious architecture, defensive

fortifications, bustling marketplaces, and well-developed residential quarters organized around the mahalla system.

The findings further indicate that European travelers consistently emphasized the importance of handicraft production, trade, and irrigation systems in sustaining urban development. The prosperity of Central Asian cities depended largely on skilled artisans, active commercial networks, and sophisticated water-management systems that supported both agriculture and urban life. These factors contributed significantly to the economic resilience and cultural vitality of the region throughout the nineteenth century.

The research also demonstrates that the mahalla constituted a fundamental element of urban organization. Functioning as both a social and administrative institution, the neighborhood system played a crucial role in maintaining social cohesion, regulating economic activities, and preserving local traditions. The observations of European travelers provide valuable insights into the practical functioning of this institution and its contribution to urban stability.

At the same time, it is important to recognize that European travel accounts were not entirely free from subjective interpretations. Many descriptions were influenced by the travelers' cultural backgrounds, political interests, and personal experiences. Therefore, these sources must be approached critically and analyzed in conjunction with other historical materials. Nevertheless, when subjected to comparative and source-critical analysis, travel narratives offer a reliable and informative perspective on nineteenth-century Central Asian urban life.

In conclusion, the works of European travelers represent an indispensable source for the study of Central Asian cities during the nineteenth century. Their accounts not only enrich our understanding of the region's urban history but also contribute to a broader reconstruction of its socio-economic and cultural development. Future research may further expand this field by comparing European travel narratives with local historical chronicles and archival documents, thereby providing a more comprehensive understanding of Central Asia's urban heritage.

REFERENCES

1. Adle, C., & Habib, I. (Eds.). (2003). History of Civilizations of Central Asia. Volume V: Development in Contrast: From the Sixteenth to the Mid-Nineteenth Century. Paris: UNESCO Publishing.
2. Becker, S. (1968). Russia's Protectorates in Central Asia: Bukhara and Khiva, 1865–1924. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
3. Braudel, F. (1981). The Structures of Everyday Life: The Limits of the Possible. Berkeley and Los Angeles: University of California Press.
4. Burnes, A. (1834). Travels into Bokhara: Being the Account of a Journey from India to Cabool, Tartary and Persia. Vols. I–III. London: John Murray.
5. Efremov, P. (1786). Stranstvovanie Filippa Yefremova v Bukhare, Khive, Persii i Indii [Travels of Philip Efremov in Bukhara, Khiva, Persia and India]. Saint Petersburg: Imperial Academy of Sciences.
6. Holdsworth, M. (1959). Turkestan in the Nineteenth Century: A Brief History of the Khanates of Bukhara, Kokand and Khiva. London: Central Asian Research Centre.
7. Jenkinson, A. (1886). Early Voyages and Travels to Russia and Persia. London: Hakluyt Society.
8. Khanikoff, N. (1845). Bokhara: Its Amir and Its People. London: James Madden.

9. Morrison, A. (2008). Russian Rule in Samarkand, 1868–1910: A Comparison with British India. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
10. Przhevalsky, N. M. (1888). The Fourth Journey to Central Asia: From Kyakhta to the Sources of the Yellow River, Across Northern Tibet and Through the Tarim Basin. Saint Petersburg: V. S. Balashev Printing House.
11. Soucek, S. (2000). A History of Inner Asia. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
12. Vámbéry, A. (1864). Travels in Central Asia: Being the Account of a Journey from Teheran Across the Turcoman Desert to Khiva, Bokhara and Samarcand. London: John Murray.
13. Zolotnitskaya, R. L. (1978). Po dorogam nevedomogo Turkestana [Along the Roads of Unknown Turkestan]. Moscow: Nauka.
14. Barthold, V. V. (1963). Sochineniya. Tom II. Chast 1. Obshchie raboty po istorii Srednei Azii [Collected Works. Vol. II, Part 1. General Studies on the History of Central Asia]. Moscow: Publishing House of Oriental Literature.
15. Barthold, V. V. (1968). Turkestan v epokhu mongolskogo nashestviya [Turkestan in the Age of the Mongol Invasion]. Moscow: Nauka.