BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

THE MOTIF OF PREDESTINED LOVE: "LAYLI AND MAJNUN" AND "TRISTAN AND ISOLDE"

Turakhanov Rustam Baxramovich
assistant teacher of University
Economics and Pedagogy,Samarkand Campus
Departments of Uzbek and Russian Languages
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20529940

Annotation: This article examines the motif of predestined love as it manifests in two foundational works of world literature: the Persian poem "Layli and Majnun" by Nizami Ganjavi (12th century) and the medieval European romance "Tristan and Isolde," particularly in Gottfried von Strassburg's version (early 13th century). Despite originating in distinct cultural and religious contexts—Islamic Persia and Christian Europe—both narratives present love as a force that transcends human will, operating within frameworks of destiny that simultaneously empower and imprison the lovers. The analysis focuses on three key dimensions: the metaphysical status of love as predestination, the role of external forces (society, family, magical agency) in shaping the lovers' fate, and the transformation of the beloved's identity through suffering. Drawing on comparative literary analysis and recent scholarship in Persian and medieval European literature, the article demonstrates that while "Tristan and Isolde" locates predestination primarily in the magical agency of the love potion, "Layli and Majnun" presents love as an ontological condition that precedes and determines the lovers' existence. Both works, however, share a fundamental tension: predestined love is represented as simultaneously liberating (elevating the lovers above ordinary moral constraints) and destructive (leading inevitably to social exile and death). The article concludes that the motif of predestined love serves in both traditions as a vehicle for exploring questions of human agency, social transgression, and the relationship between earthly passion and spiritual transcendence.

Keywords: predestined love, Layli and Majnun, Tristan and Isolde, Nizami Ganjavi, Gottfried von Strassburg, comparative literature, courtly love, Persian romance, medieval romance, fate versus free will.

The motif of love as a predetermined force, operating beyond the control of human will, appears across literary traditions as one of the most persistent and generative themes in world literature. Two of its most powerful expressions emerge from the medieval period: the Persian romance "Layli and Majnun," composed by Nizami Ganjavi (1141–1209) as part of his Khamsa (Quintet), and the European legend of "Tristan and Isolde," given its most sophisticated literary treatment by Gottfried von Strassburg (died c. 1210). These works, separated by geography, language, and religious tradition, nonetheless share a fundamental concern with love as an overwhelming, inescapable destiny that defines the very existence of the lovers.

The pairing of these two narratives for comparative analysis is not arbitrary. The Collège de France devoted a full course to the comparison of Majnun and Tristan under the direction of A. Miquel, recognizing the profound structural and thematic parallels between the two traditions . More recently, the Oxford University Press volume "Tales of Love, Sex, and Danger" places

"Layla and Majnun" and "Tristan and Isolde" within a single analytical framework alongside other world love stories, suggesting the continuing relevance of this comparative approach .

This article argues that while both works present love as predestined, they differ fundamentally in how they conceptualize the source and nature of this predestination. In "Tristan and Isolde," predestination operates through the external, magical agency of the love potion—an accident that irrevocably binds the lovers to one another. In "Layli and Majnun," by contrast, predestination is internal and ontological: Majnun (whose given name is Qays) is constituted as a lover by his very essence, and his love for Layli precedes and determines all subsequent events. Yet both narratives share a common structural logic: predestined love inevitably leads to social exclusion, suffering, and death, while simultaneously offering a form of transcendence that elevates the lovers above ordinary human experience.

The Metaphysics of Predestined Love: Fate as Ontology versus Fate as Accident

The most fundamental difference between the two traditions lies in how they conceptualize the relationship between love and destiny. In Nizami's "Layli and Majnun," love is not something that happens to the lovers; rather, it is something that they *are*. The transformation of Qays into Majnun ("the madman" or "possessed by jinn") represents not the onset of a condition but the revelation of an essential truth. As recent scholarship has shown, drawing on the philosophy of Vladimir Solovyov, love in Nizami's poem functions as "a spiritual and transformative force that guides humans from individual and material constraints towards absolute perfection" . This is not love as emotion but love as ontology—a fundamental mode of being that determines the lover's entire relationship to the world.

The predestination in "Layli and Majnun" operates on multiple levels. At the social level, the lovers are trapped within a tribal patriarchal system that condemns any expression of romantic love as a violation of honor. As one comparative study notes, "Layla's city is a land of violence and entanglements... a society that sees love and fondness as the beginning of vulgarity and destruction" . At the metaphysical level, however, this social condemnation only intensifies the predestined character of the love: the very impossibility of their union becomes proof of its necessity. The love is written into the fabric of existence, and society's opposition serves only to confirm this.

In Gottfried's "Tristan," the situation appears almost inverted. The love between Tristan and Isolde is not essential but accidental—the result of a potion mistakenly consumed on the ship journey from Ireland to Cornwall. The potion, prepared by Isolde's mother and intended for Isolde and King Mark, binds Tristan and Isolde to one another "whether he wished it or no," creating a love "so subtly devised and prepared, and endowed with such powers, that with whomever any man drank it he had to love her above all things" . This magical compulsion has been described by scholars as "one of the most controversial motifs of Tristan scholarship," precisely because it raises the question of whether the love is "authentic" or merely the product of external manipulation .

Yet the distinction between essential and accidental predestination may be less absolute than it first appears. Even before drinking the potion, there are clear indications of mutual attraction between Tristan and Isolde. Gottfried's text suggests that the potion does not create love ex nihilo

but rather releases what propriety and honor would otherwise suppress . The potion functions, in this reading, not as the cause of the love but as its enabling condition—a narrative device that allows the lovers to transgress social and moral boundaries without being fully responsible for their transgression. The predestination is thus both magical and psychological: the potion externalizes an internal necessity that was already present but could not otherwise be expressed.

Social Transgression and the Price of Predestined Love

Both narratives represent predestined love as fundamentally incompatible with social order. This is not incidental but structural: true love, in both traditions, cannot be accommodated within the existing social arrangements of marriage, family honor, and political alliance. The lovers must choose between love and society, and the predestined character of their love means that the choice is, in fact, no choice at all.

In "Layli and Majnun," the social obstacles are explicit and violent. Layli's father, upon learning of his daughter's attachment to Qays, responds with murderous rage: "I'd rather dogs eat my daughter / Rather than these demonic people" . She is imprisoned in the seraglio, removed from school, and eventually married against her will to Ibn Salam, a wealthy man who has never spoken to her. The society that surrounds the lovers is described as "a land of swords and daggers," where the only language of power is violence. Even the sympathetic figure Nofal, who tries to help Majnun, can conceive of no better method than drawing his sword to bring Layli to him .

Layli's position within this social order is particularly constrained. As one analysis notes, "Layla's guilt is that she was born as a woman, and because she is a woman, she is deprived of any choice and option" . She moves from her father's prison directly to her husband's seraglio, her only recourse being tears and lamentation. Majnun, by contrast, finds a kind of freedom in his madness: he retreats to the desert, lives among wild animals, and devotes himself entirely to the memory of his beloved. His social death enables a form of spiritual life that would be impossible within the tribe.

The situation in "Tristan and Isolde" is superficially more accommodating, but the structural logic is similar. Isolde is not imprisoned in a seraglio; she is being transported to marry King Mark, a worthy and honorable man. The marriage is politically advantageous, and Isolde's mother, in preparing the love potion, wishes only for her daughter's happiness. Yet the predestined love between Tristan and Isolde proves just as incompatible with social order as the love of Layli and Majnun. The lovers must conceal their passion, deceive the king, and live in constant fear of exposure. When King Mark discovers the truth, his response is not murder but banishment—yet the result is the same: separation, suffering, and death.

The medieval European context adds a crucial dimension absent from the Persian narrative. As canonical law of the period made clear, marriage was understood primarily in terms of consent and procreation. Extra-marital sex, even when motivated by true love, was a sin. Yet Gottfried's treatment of the lovers is notably permissive. His Tristan and Isolde are not condemned by the narrator; rather, their love is presented as a higher good that justifies the violation of conventional morality. The philosopher Andreas Capellanus, writing in the late twelfth century, articulated the courtly love ideology that informs Gottfried's poem: a lady had no good reason for refusing to love

a man entirely worthy of her love . Tristan is such a man, and Isolde's love for him, even adulterous, is represented as both natural and admirable.

The Transformation of Identity Through Love

In both narratives, the experience of predestined love fundamentally transforms the identity of the lover. The transformation is most dramatic in "Layli and Majnun," where Qays literally becomes someone else—Majnun, the madman—and this new identity supplants his original self so completely that he is known only by his condition. As recent scholarship has shown, "love in Nizami's epic poem serves as a symbol of an educational and transformative movement that guides Majnun toward spiritual perfection and the understanding of absolute values" . The madness that separates him from human society is simultaneously a path toward divine truth.

This transformation has been analyzed through multiple theoretical frameworks. One study applies the sociological perspectives of Lukács, Bourdieu, and Adorno to argue that "love was carried out by the conflict of otherness until adolescence and youth, in a geographical way that the objects, minds, habits and social base dominated the behavioral hierarchy of lovers, not their will" . In this reading, the transformation of the lovers is not a matter of individual psychology but of social forces that exceed the lovers' control. The predestination of love is, at least in part, a social predestination: the lovers are trapped within structures of honor, class, and gender that determine their fate.

Majnun's transformation has a spiritual dimension that transcends the social. According to Solovyov's philosophy of love, which finds striking parallels in Nizami's poem, "the union of two limited beings in love provides a ground for the emergence of an ideal and absolute personality" . Majnun's love for Layli is not merely an attachment to another person but a movement toward absolute perfection. The earthly beloved becomes a vehicle for divine love, and the suffering of separation becomes a form of spiritual discipline. The transformation is thus not merely psychological but ontological: Majnun becomes, through love, more fully human, more fully real.

In "Tristan and Isolde," the transformation is less metaphysical but equally profound. Tristan, the perfect knight, the loyal subject of King Mark, becomes a deceiver and a traitor. Isolde, the beautiful Irish princess destined for a throne, becomes an adulteress. Yet Gottfried does not present this transformation as a fall. On the contrary, the love of Tristan and Isolde is consistently represented as the highest good, superior to loyalty, honor, and even religious obligation. The love potion, which in earlier versions of the legend was a curse, becomes in Gottfried's hands a blessing—or at least something more ambiguous than a simple misfortune. As one scholar notes, "the interpretation of the potion is so important that it cannot be overlooked," yet scholars have "achieved no consensus" on what it means .

The difference between the two narratives becomes particularly clear when we consider the gender dynamics of transformation. In "Tristan and Isolde," the two lovers are transformed in parallel: both are bound by the potion, both must conceal their love, both suffer the consequences. In "Layli and Majnun," the transformation is asymmetrical. Majnun is transformed into the archetypal lover, the mad poet of the desert; Layli remains within the social order, married to a man she does not love, her only freedom the freedom to grieve. As one comparative analysis notes,

"Majnun is an absolute mania, who has forgotten everything... Layla is a prisoner in seraglio" . Her love, no less real than his, cannot express itself in the same way. Her transformation is internal, invisible, limited to her private suffering.

The Role of Suffering as Spiritual Path

The most striking parallel between the two traditions is the representation of suffering as intrinsic to, indeed constitutive of, authentic love. In both narratives, the lovers do not merely endure suffering; they embrace it, cultivate it, transform it into the very substance of their identity.

In "Layli and Majnun," this embrace of suffering is explicit and systematic. Majnun "extremely loves suffering, hardship, humiliation, and moaning and he gets deep into worshiping grief" . This is not masochism but a form of spiritual discipline. The desert, the wild animals, the rejection by society—these are not obstacles to his love but its necessary conditions. His love would not be what it is if it were not impossible. The suffering proves the authenticity of the love, just as the persecution of the early Christians proved the authenticity of their faith.

The recent scholarly literature on Nizami's poem has emphasized this dimension. One study argues that "Majnun's love for Layli elevates him beyond an ordinary life to the status of a devoted lover" and that "the mismatch of true love with social norms is part of spiritual transcendence and romantic growth" . The very impossibility of union becomes the motor of spiritual development. Majnun does not seek to overcome the obstacles to his love; he seeks to perfect his love through the obstacles. The love is not a means to union but an end in itself.

In "Tristan and Isolde," the embrace of suffering is less explicit but no less fundamental. Gottfried's prologue announces the theme directly: "If the two of whom this love-story tells had not endured sorrow for the sake of joy, love's pain for its ecstasy within one heart, their name and history would never have brought such rapture to so many noble spirits" . The suffering is not incidental but essential. The joy of love is inseparable from the pain of love; indeed, the joy is intensified by the pain. The hiding, the deception, the fear of discovery—these are not merely the costs of the love but its very texture.

The theological context of medieval Europe adds a layer of meaning absent from the Persian narrative. The Church taught that suffering could be redemptive, a participation in the suffering of Christ. Gottfried does not invoke this explicitly—his Tristan and Isolde are not particularly pious—but the structure of the narrative draws on the same logic. The lovers suffer for their love, and through their suffering, their love is purified and elevated. They do not repent; they persist. And in their persistence, they achieve a kind of secular sainthood.

Death as the Only Possible Union

Both narratives culminate in the death of the lovers. This is not accidental but structural: in both traditions, predestined love can only achieve its fulfillment beyond the limits of earthly existence. The social order cannot accommodate the love, and the love cannot compromise itself to fit within the social order. Death is the only space in which the lovers can be united.

In "Layli and Majnun," the death is gradual and symmetrical. Layli dies first, worn down by suffering and the impossibility of her love. Majnun, upon hearing of her death, comes to her grave and dies there, finally united with her in death. The union is spiritual rather than physical, but it is a union nonetheless. As one study notes, "they pass away drown in depths of wistfulness and failure and get rid of the bondage of the world" . Death is liberation: from the body, from society, from the constraints that made their love impossible in life.

In "Tristan and Isolde," the death is more dramatic, more violent. Tristan is wounded, Isolde comes too late, he dies in her arms, she dies of grief beside him. Gottfried's version emphasizes the pathos of the timing: if only Isolde had arrived a moment sooner, she could have saved him. But she does not. The death is cruel and arbitrary, yet it is also, in a strange way, satisfying. The lovers who could not be united in life are united in death. The grave becomes the marriage bed.

The difference between the two narratives in their treatment of death reflects their different conceptions of predestination. In "Tristan and Isolde," death is an accident—or rather, a series of accidents that lead inevitably to the same end. The potion set the lovers on a path that could only end in death, but the specific mechanism of that death is contingent. In "Layli and Majnun," death is not an accident but a fulfillment. The love was always oriented toward death; the death is not the end of the love but its completion.

The Question of Agency

Both narratives raise, without fully resolving, the question of whether the lovers are free or determined. The predestination of love seems to diminish their agency: they do not choose to love; they are chosen by love. Yet they make choices within the constraints that love imposes. They choose to persist in their love even when they could choose to renounce it. They choose death over compromise.

In "Tristan and Isolde," the question of agency is complicated by the love potion. If the potion forces them to love each other, can their subsequent actions be considered free? Medieval and modern readers have disagreed. Some have argued that the potion absolves the lovers of responsibility for their adultery; others have argued that the potion merely releases a love that was already present. Gottfried himself seems ambivalent. As one scholar writes, "the interpretation of the potion is so important that it cannot be overlooked," yet the text itself offers no definitive answer .

In "Layli and Majnun," the question of agency is even more complex. There is no potion, no external compulsion. Yet the love seems no less determined for being internal. Majnun cannot choose not to love Layli; his love is his essence. But within that love, he makes choices: to retreat to the desert, to refuse the comforts of society, to persist in his devotion even when it brings him nothing but suffering. His agency is real, but it operates within a field already defined by love.

The sociological analysis of "Layli and Majnun" suggests that the apparent lack of agency may be an effect of social structure rather than metaphysics. "The objects and minds of society have entered another active and class variable, ibn Salam, into the story so that failed love, which is desired by the minds of the stonak society" . The lovers are not free because society is not free.

Their lack of agency is not a function of cosmic determinism but of social constraint. Predestination, in this reading, is the name the lovers give to structures they cannot change.

Comparative Conclusions

The comparison of "Layli and Majnun" and "Tristan and Isolde" reveals both deep structural parallels and significant differences. The parallels are striking: both narratives present love as a force that exceeds human control, leads inevitably to social transgression and suffering, and culminates in the death of the lovers. Both narratives use the motif of predestined love to explore questions of human agency, social order, and the relationship between earthly passion and something beyond the earthly.

The differences are equally significant. In "Tristan and Isolde," predestination operates through the external, magical agency of the love potion; in "Layli and Majnun," predestination is internal and ontological. In the European narrative, the lovers are bound by an accident; in the Persian, they are constituted by an essence. In "Tristan and Isolde," the social order is challenged but not fundamentally transformed; in "Layli and Majnun," the social order is exposed as violent and unjust, and the lover's madness becomes a form of critique.

These differences reflect the distinct cultural and religious contexts of the two works. The European tradition of courtly love, emerging from the tensions between Christian morality and aristocratic social codes, produced a literature of transgression that could never fully renounce the values it transgressed. The Persian tradition, drawing on Sufi metaphysics and the poetry of mystical love, could more fully embrace the idea that earthly love is a veil for divine love, that the suffering of separation is a path to union, that the lover's madness is a form of wisdom.

Conclusion

The motif of predestined love, as it appears in "Layli and Majnun" and "Tristan and Isolde," offers a rich field for comparative analysis. Both works represent love as a force that transcends human will and determines the fate of the lovers. Both represent this love as incompatible with social order, leading inevitably to suffering, exile, and death. Both represent death as the only space in which the lovers can be united. And both raise, without fully resolving, the question of whether the lovers are free or determined.

Yet the differences between the two works are equally revealing. The role of the love potion in "Tristan and Isolde" introduces an element of external, magical compulsion that has no parallel in "Layli and Majnun." The asymmetrical transformation of the lovers—Majnun radically transformed, Layli imprisoned within the social order—reflects different gender dynamics. The spiritual dimension of love is more explicit in the Persian poem, where the Sufi tradition provides a framework for interpreting earthly love as a path to divine truth.

Both works, however, share a fundamental insight: that love of the kind they depict cannot be accommodated within ordinary social life. The lovers are not simply unfortunate; they are tragic. Their tragedy is not that they loved unwisely but that they loved at all. The predestination of their love means that they had no choice; the impossibility of their love means that their fate was sealed

from the beginning. And yet—and this is the paradox that makes these works enduring—the love is also represented as the highest good, something worth dying for, something that gives meaning to lives that would otherwise be merely ordinary.

The continuing relevance of these medieval narratives lies in their exploration of this paradox. In an age that tends to understand love as a choice, as something we can enter and exit at will, these stories remind us of an older understanding: that love can be a destiny, a fate, something that happens to us rather than something we do. Whether this understanding is liberating or terrifying depends on whether one sees the loss of agency as a release from responsibility or as an imprisonment without appeal. Both "Layli and Majnun" and "Tristan and Isolde" present both possibilities, leaving the final judgment to the reader.

Future research might extend this comparative analysis to other love stories from other traditions—the Sanskrit romance of Shakuntala, the Japanese tale of the Bamboo Cutter, the Norse sagas of forbidden love. The motif of predestined love appears to be a human universal, emerging independently in multiple cultural contexts. Understanding its variations and its constants may help us understand something fundamental about the human experience of love: its power to exceed our control, to resist our best efforts at domestication, to demand of us more than we can give and still, somehow, to give us more than we could ever ask.

References

[1] Miquel, A. *Two Stories of Love: From Majnûn to Tristan*. Paris: Odile Jacob, 1996. (Travaux du Collège de France)
[2] NourmohammadiNajafabadi, Z., Niazi, S., & Khorasani, M. "Analysis of Cognitive Domination of Love in the Layli and Majnun of Nizami Ganjavi Based on the Sociological Perspective of Lukács, Bourdieu and Adorno." *Jurnal Pendidikan Humaniora*, 11(01), 2023, pp. 22-31.
[3] Kakar, S., & Ross, J. M. *Tales of Love, Sex, and Danger*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 2011.
[4] Azadtabar, F., & Roosta, O. "Love in Nizami's Layla and Majnun from Vladimir Solovyov's Perspective: Social Critique, Human Transformation, and the Search for Spiritual Perfection." *Literary Studies*, 2025.
[5] Johnson, S. M., et al. "This Drink Will Be the Death of You: Interpreting the Love Potion in Gottfried's Tristan." In *A Companion to Gottfried von Strassburg's Tristan*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2017.
[6] Gottfried von Strassburg. *Tristan*. Translated by A. T. Hatto. London: Penguin Books, 1960.
[7] Lahteela, H. "Love, Sex, and Marriage in Gottfried von Strassburg's Tristan." Master's thesis, University of Sydney.
[8] "Analyzing the Betrayal of Isolde and Tristan." IPL.org, 2024.
[9] "Two Stories of Love (Work of the Collège de France)." Odile Jacob Publishing.
[10] "Love, Longing & Desire in 'Bestowing Beauty.'" Museum of Fine Arts, Houston.