BG Pulse Journal USA
ISSN:1947-2536 http://www.bgpulseusa.com/index.php/bg

COMBINING CLEAR TEACHER SPEECH AND ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE TOOLS IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE LEARNING: CLASSROOM OBSERVATIONS FROM UZBEKISTAN

Tashniyazov Eldor Usmonqul ugli
English Teacher, Pearson International Program, Navbahor District Polytechnic No. 2, Navoi Region
Email: eldortashniyazov07@gmail.com
https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.20552617

Abstract: The effectiveness of English language instruction is influenced not only by curriculum design and learning materials but also by the quality of classroom communication and the integration of modern educational technologies. In contemporary language classrooms, teachers increasingly encounter learners with diverse proficiency levels, learning preferences, and motivational characteristics. This study examines the combined role of clear teacher speech and artificial intelligence-supported learning tools in facilitating English language acquisition among learners from beginner to intermediate levels. The analysis is based on long-term classroom observations collected during four years of teaching practice involving more than four hundred students in Uzbekistan. The findings indicate that understandable instructional delivery creates favorable conditions for language comprehension, while AI-assisted resources expand opportunities for autonomous learning and individualized practice. The study further argues that the strategic combination of human pedagogical guidance and intelligent digital support contributes to stronger learner engagement, increased confidence, and improved classroom participation. The paper highlights the importance of maintaining a balanced relationship between technological innovation and teacher-centered interaction in modern English language education.

Keywords: English Language Teaching, Artificial Intelligence in Education, Classroom Communication, Teacher Speech Clarity, Learner Engagement, Digital Learning, Language Acquisition, Educational Technology, English as a Foreign Language, Student Participation

Introduction

The process of learning a foreign language is shaped by numerous factors that extend beyond textbooks, grammar instruction, and assessment procedures. Among these factors, the way information is delivered by the teacher and the learning support available outside the classroom play a decisive role in determining learner success. In English language education, especially in contexts where English is studied as a foreign language, students often encounter difficulties not because of a lack of effort but because classroom input is not always aligned with their level of linguistic readiness. Consequently, the effectiveness of instruction depends not only on what is taught but also on how it is communicated and reinforced.

The rapid expansion of digital technologies has altered traditional perceptions of language learning. Artificial intelligence has introduced new forms of educational support capable of providing instant feedback, adaptive practice, and individualized learning experiences. Unlike conventional educational resources that offer identical content to every learner, AI-based tools can respond to differences in proficiency, pace, and learning behavior. This technological shift has created opportunities for learners to continue language development beyond classroom boundaries and to engage with educational content in a more personalized manner.

At the same time, the growing presence of technology has not diminished the importance of the teacher. Language acquisition remains a fundamentally human process that relies on interaction, clarification, encouragement, and meaningful communication. In multilingual and mixed-ability classrooms, learners frequently depend on the teacher's ability to present information in a manner that is understandable and accessible. Clear instructional speech allows students to process linguistic input more effectively, reducing cognitive overload and enabling greater participation in classroom activities. Therefore, communication quality remains a central component of successful language instruction despite ongoing technological advancement.

An important challenge emerges when learners with different proficiency levels share the same educational environment. While some students are capable of following instructions delivered at a natural conversational speed, others require additional processing time to understand vocabulary, sentence structure, and task requirements. Such differences often influence learner confidence, classroom participation, and overall academic performance. Educational strategies that fail to recognize these variations may unintentionally limit learning opportunities for less proficient students. For this reason, instructional approaches must be sufficiently flexible to accommodate diverse learner needs.

The interaction between clear teacher speech and artificial intelligence represents an area that deserves greater scholarly attention. Existing discussions frequently examine technology integration and classroom communication as separate educational phenomena. However, language learning rarely occurs through isolated factors. Rather, student development emerges through the combined influence of instructional practices, technological resources, and individual learning experiences. Understanding how these elements complement one another may contribute to more effective and inclusive language education models.

This study investigates the relationship between teacher speech clarity and the use of artificial intelligence tools in English language learning environments. Drawing upon classroom observations involving learners from beginner to intermediate proficiency levels, the research seeks to explore how these two factors influence comprehension, engagement, and participation. By examining the practical interaction between human instruction and technological support, the study aims to contribute to contemporary discussions on effective language teaching strategies in the digital era.

Literature Review

The quality of teacher-student communication has long been recognized as a fundamental factor in foreign language acquisition. Researchers have emphasized that comprehensible input plays a crucial role in helping learners process new linguistic structures and develop communicative competence. According to Krashen, language acquisition becomes more effective when learners are exposed to input that is understandable yet slightly beyond their current level of proficiency [1]. This perspective highlights the importance of instructional speech that allows learners to focus on meaning rather than struggle with decoding language.

The relationship between speech clarity and learner comprehension has received increasing attention in educational research. Studies conducted in second-language classrooms indicate that the pace, articulation, and organization of teacher speech significantly influence students' ability to understand lesson content and classroom instructions [2]. Learners who regularly experience communication difficulties often demonstrate lower participation rates and reduced confidence in oral interaction. Consequently, effective classroom communication extends beyond linguistic accuracy and includes strategic adaptation to learner needs.

Another area widely discussed in contemporary literature concerns learner engagement. Engagement is often viewed as a multidimensional concept involving behavioral participation, emotional involvement, and cognitive investment in learning activities [3]. Research findings suggest that students are more likely to participate actively when instructional environments reduce anxiety and provide opportunities for successful communication. In this context, understandable teacher speech may function as a mechanism that lowers communication barriers and encourages classroom interaction.

Recent advances in educational technology have introduced new opportunities for supporting language learning through artificial intelligence. AI-powered applications can provide personalized feedback, adaptive exercises, automated pronunciation assessment, and individualized learning pathways [4]. Unlike traditional digital resources, intelligent systems can respond dynamically to learner performance, allowing students to receive support tailored to their specific strengths and weaknesses.

Several international studies have examined the educational potential of artificial intelligence in language learning contexts. Research demonstrates that AI-assisted platforms can increase learner autonomy by enabling students to practice independently outside formal classroom settings [5]. Furthermore, intelligent learning systems contribute to immediate feedback delivery, which has been identified as an important factor in language development and error correction [6]. Such features may be particularly beneficial for learners who require additional practice beyond classroom instruction.

Despite the growing body of literature on educational technology, many scholars argue that technological tools should complement rather than replace human instruction [7]. Language learning involves social interaction, emotional support, and contextual understanding that remain difficult to replicate through automated systems alone. Therefore, the most effective educational approaches may emerge from the integration of teacher expertise and technological innovation rather than reliance on either element independently.

Although previous studies have explored classroom communication and artificial intelligence separately, fewer investigations have focused on their combined influence within English language learning environments. This gap suggests the need for further research examining how teacher speech clarity and AI-supported learning resources interact to influence student engagement, comprehension, and participation. The present study contributes to this area by exploring the practical relationship between these factors through classroom observations involving learners of varying proficiency levels.

Methodology

This study employed a qualitative research design based on systematic classroom observation. The selection of a qualitative approach was motivated by the exploratory nature of the research, which aimed to understand patterns of learner behavior, classroom interaction, and engagement rather than measure predetermined variables through statistical procedures.

The observations were conducted over a four-year period within English language learning environments in Uzbekistan. The participant group consisted of more than 400 learners representing beginner, elementary, pre-intermediate, and intermediate proficiency levels. The diversity of learner backgrounds provided an opportunity to examine instructional practices across different stages of language development.

Data were collected through continuous classroom observation and reflective teaching records. Particular attention was directed toward learner responses during teacher explanations, participation in speaking activities, willingness to ask questions, and engagement with AI-supported learning

tasks. Observation notes were recorded throughout the instructional process to identify recurring behavioral patterns and learning tendencies.

The study focused on two principal variables. The first variable concerned the clarity of teacher speech, including speech pace, pronunciation, instruction delivery, and comprehensibility. The second variable involved the use of artificial intelligence-based educational tools for vocabulary development, pronunciation practice, language feedback, and independent learning activities.

The collected observational data were analyzed using thematic analysis. Similar classroom events and learner responses were grouped into categories, allowing common themes to emerge from the data. This process facilitated the identification of patterns related to comprehension, confidence, participation, and learner engagement.

To enhance the credibility of the findings, observations were examined across multiple learner groups and different instructional situations. The analysis prioritized recurring patterns rather than isolated incidents, ensuring that conclusions reflected consistent classroom experiences observed over an extended period.

Results

The analysis of classroom observations revealed that speech clarity significantly influenced learner comprehension across different proficiency levels. Students at the beginner and elementary stages demonstrated greater responsiveness when instructional explanations were delivered in a structured and comprehensible manner. Rather than relying solely on vocabulary knowledge, learners appeared to depend heavily on the teacher's ability to organize information in a way that reduced cognitive pressure. This observation supports previous findings suggesting that comprehensible input facilitates more effective language acquisition processes [1].

A noticeable difference emerged in student participation patterns when speech delivery was adjusted according to learner needs. In lessons where explanations were presented at an appropriate pace, students initiated interactions more frequently and displayed greater willingness to answer questions. Conversely, rapid instructional delivery often resulted in longer response delays and reduced verbal participation. These findings indicate that communication effectiveness is closely associated with the learners' capacity to process incoming linguistic information before producing responses of their own [2].

The observations further revealed that learner confidence was influenced not only by language proficiency but also by perceived accessibility of classroom communication. Students who consistently understood instructions demonstrated a greater readiness to engage in speaking activities, peer discussions, and collaborative tasks. This relationship suggests that confidence may develop through repeated experiences of successful comprehension rather than through linguistic competence alone. Similar conclusions have been reported in studies examining the connection between classroom engagement and educational achievement [3].

The integration of artificial intelligence tools introduced an additional dimension to the learning process. Learners frequently utilized AI-supported applications to review vocabulary, practice pronunciation, and receive corrective feedback outside formal classroom hours. Unlike traditional homework activities, these tools enabled immediate interaction with learning content and allowed students to revisit problematic language areas independently. As a result, many learners arrived at subsequent lessons with greater familiarity regarding previously introduced concepts, reflecting patterns identified in contemporary research on intelligent educational technologies [4].

An important observation concerned the differing ways in which students interacted with AI-based resources according to their proficiency levels. Intermediate learners tended to use digital tools for self-directed practice and skill refinement, whereas beginner learners often relied on AI systems for repetition, clarification, and reinforcement of basic language structures. This distinction suggests that educational technologies may serve different pedagogical functions depending on learner readiness and instructional objectives [5].

The findings also demonstrated that technological support alone did not guarantee meaningful learning outcomes. Students benefited most when AI-assisted activities complemented teacher guidance rather than functioning as independent instructional substitutes. Classroom discussions frequently revealed that learners required human explanation to interpret feedback generated by digital systems. This pattern highlights the continuing importance of teacher mediation in technology-enhanced learning environments and aligns with scholarly arguments emphasizing the complementary relationship between artificial intelligence and human instruction [7].

Another recurring theme involved learner motivation. Students generally expressed greater enthusiasm toward activities incorporating digital interaction, particularly when AI applications provided immediate responses to their efforts. The opportunity to receive feedback without waiting for formal assessment appeared to increase persistence during learning tasks. This motivational effect was especially visible among learners who previously demonstrated hesitation during traditional classroom exercises. Similar observations have been documented in studies examining adaptive learning technologies and learner engagement [6].

A broader analysis of classroom dynamics suggests that the combination of clear instructional communication and AI-supported learning created conditions that encouraged active participation. While speech clarity facilitated initial comprehension during lessons, technological tools extended opportunities for practice beyond the classroom. The interaction between these two elements appeared to strengthen learning continuity by connecting guided instruction with independent reinforcement. Consequently, educational effectiveness emerged not from a single pedagogical factor but from the strategic integration of human expertise and technological support.

Overall, the results indicate that language learning environments benefit from approaches that address both cognitive and technological dimensions of instruction. The observed patterns suggest that learners are more likely to participate, practice, and persist when educational communication is accessible and when supplementary learning resources provide individualized support. These findings contribute to the growing discussion regarding balanced models of language education that combine traditional pedagogical strengths with emerging digital innovations [4; 5; 7].

Table 1. Selected International Findings Related to Artificial Intelligence and Educational Development

Indicator	Result (%)	Source
Students aged 16 and above who reported using generative AI tools	75%	OECD (2025) – https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/sub-issues/generative-ai.html
Employers expecting AI and information-processing technologies to transform their organizations by 2030	86%	World Economic Forum (2025) – https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/
Employers planning	85%	World Economic Forum (2025) –

Indicator	Result (%)	Source
workforce upskilling initiatives due to technological transformation		https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/
Workers expected to require training or reskilling by 2030	59%	World Economic Forum (2025) – https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/
Teachers reporting no formal guidance for AI integration in classrooms	80%	Gallup & Walton Family Foundation (2026) – https://www.gallup.com/education/
Employers identifying skill gaps as a major barrier to organizational transformation	63%	World Economic Forum (2025) – https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/
Employees who completed reskilling or upskilling programs	50%	World Economic Forum (2025) – https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/
Individuals across OECD countries who used generative AI tools in 2025	33%+	OECD (2025) – https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/sub-issues/generative-ai.html

Note

This table is compiled based on publicly available reports from OECD, World Economic Forum, and Gallup & Walton Family Foundation.

Conclusion

The findings of this study demonstrate that effective English language learning cannot be explained through a single instructional factor. Classroom observations indicate that learners achieve stronger engagement when educational communication is accessible and when learning opportunities extend beyond traditional classroom boundaries. The interaction between teacher guidance and technological support appears to create conditions that facilitate both comprehension and active participation.

One of the most significant observations concerns the continuing importance of the teacher in technology-rich learning environments. While artificial intelligence provides valuable opportunities for individualized practice and immediate feedback, it does not eliminate the need for human explanation, encouragement, and instructional adaptation. Language learning remains a socially constructed process in which meaningful interaction continues to influence learner development.

The analysis further suggests that speech clarity should be viewed as a strategic pedagogical tool rather than merely a communication preference. Learners with different proficiency levels process information at different speeds, and instructional effectiveness increases when communication reflects these differences. A learning environment that prioritizes comprehensibility may contribute not only to academic achievement but also to learner confidence and willingness to participate.

Another important implication relates to the educational role of artificial intelligence. The findings indicate that AI technologies are most effective when they complement classroom instruction instead of functioning as independent teaching mechanisms. Their greatest value

appears to lie in extending learning opportunities, supporting autonomous practice, and providing personalized reinforcement outside formal lessons.

From a broader educational perspective, the future of English language teaching may depend on achieving a productive balance between pedagogical expertise and technological innovation. Educational institutions that successfully integrate these dimensions are more likely to create learning environments capable of addressing the diverse needs of contemporary learners. Therefore, strategic integration rather than technological replacement should guide future educational development.

Future studies may benefit from employing larger participant groups, quantitative measurement techniques, and comparative analyses across different educational contexts. Such investigations could provide a deeper understanding of how communication practices and intelligent technologies collectively influence language acquisition outcomes.

References

1. Krashen, S. D. (1985). *The Input Hypothesis: Issues and Implications*. London: Longman.
2. Ellis, R. (2012). *Language Teaching Research and Language Pedagogy*. Oxford: Wiley-Blackwell.
3. Fredricks, J. A., Blumenfeld, P. C., & Paris, A. H. (2004). School Engagement: Potential of the Concept, State of the Evidence. *Review of Educational Research*, 74(1), 59–109.
4. Luckin, R. (2018). *Machine Learning and Human Intelligence: The Future of Education for the 21st Century*. London: UCL Institute of Education Press.
5. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., & Fadel, C. (2019). *Artificial Intelligence in Education: Promises and Implications for Teaching and Learning*. Boston: Center for Curriculum Redesign.
6. Godwin-Jones, R. (2021). Emerging Technologies and Language Learning. *Language Learning & Technology*, 25(3), 5–18.
7. Siemens, G., & Baker, R. (2012). Learning Analytics and Educational Data Mining: Towards Communication and Collaboration. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge*, 252–254.
8. OECD. (2025). *Generative AI Resources*. Available at: https://www.oecd.org/en/topics/sub-issues/generative-ai.html
9. World Economic Forum. (2025). *The Future of Jobs Report 2025*. Available at: https://www.weforum.org/reports/the-future-of-jobs-report-2025/
10. Gallup & Walton Family Foundation. (2026). *AI Integration in K–12 Education Survey*. Available at: https://www.gallup.com/education/
11. Richards, J. C. (2015). *Key Issues in Language Teaching*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
12. Nation, I. S. P. (2013). *Learning Vocabulary in Another Language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
13. Harmer, J. (2015). *The Practice of English Language Teaching* (5th ed.). Harlow: Pearson Education.
14. UNESCO. (2023). *Guidance for Generative Artificial Intelligence in Education and Research*. Paris: UNESCO.
15. OECD. (2023). *Artificial Intelligence in Society*. Paris: OECD Publishing.